

Order Paper Questions

Hon. Marc Lalonde (Minister of National Health and Welfare): The following answers are as of March 31, 1973: 1. (a) Since its establishment, the New Horizons Program has awarded \$3,387,631 in grants; (b) See statement following.

2. The New Horizons Program, which became operational in mid-September 1972, is a 3-year experimental program. It has been allocated a total of \$30,000,000 for both project and administrative expenses; this \$30,000,000 is to be spent over the 3-year period:

3. (a) 1,629; (b) See statement following; (c) 493; (d) See statement following.

Provinces	1 (b) \$ Granted \$	3 (b) Projects Received	3 (d) Projects Approved
Newfoundland	73,589	17	9
P.E.I.	29,946	16	10
Nova Scotia	237,853	57	18
New Brunswick	207,140	62	29
Quebec	848,691	565	126
Ontario	984,217	402	137
Manitoba	206,132	94	31
Saskatchewan	176,544	112	33
Alberta	240,173	105	36
British Columbia	374,210	196	62
Yukon	0	0	0
N.W.T.	9,136	3	2

HOUSING OWNED BY CMHC

Question No. 1,793—**Mr. Godin:**

1. Throughout Canada, how many (a) single family dwellings (b) semi-detached duplexes (c) apartment buildings (d) rental housing are owned by Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation?

2. How many dwelling units are involved in such dwellings or buildings and what is their total value?

3. How many dwellings or buildings of each category had to be repossessed by CMHC over the past five years, owing to contractors' bankruptcies?

4. In each province what is the total value of all dwellings and buildings seized under such circumstances and at what civic address are these houses or buildings located?

Hon. Ron Basford (Minister of State for Urban Affairs): 1. Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation keeps it records on the basis of the number of housing units, all of which are for rental purposes. The number owned by CMHC or administered by it on behalf of various insurance funds, under the National Housing Act as December 31, 1972, are given in the table following:

Number of Housing Units	Number of Housing Units		Total
	Corporation owned	Administered on behalf of Insurance Funds	
Single houses	527	988	1,515
Row houses	2,468	270	2,738
Apartments	6,413	2,478	8,891
Total	9,408	3,736	13,144

[Mr. Lambert (Bellechasse).]

2. The number of dwelling units is given in 1 above, and their book value at December 31, 1972, was: (a) Corporation-owned, \$48,455,000; (b) administered on behalf of Insurance Funds, \$30,182,000.

3. All repossessions arise from financial difficulties of one kind or another on the part of the borrowers, some of which have involved the bankruptcies of contractors. It is not possible, from information available, to identify those cases of repossessions where bankruptcy was the primary cause.

4. Not applicable; see 3 above.

CORA BROWN AND McDONALD SUBDIVISION—
OBJECTIONS TO EXPROPRIATIONQuestion No. 1,847—**Mr. Reynolds:**

1. Is it the intention of the Minister of Public Works to table all the transcripts of objections to the expropriation of the Cora Brown and McDonald Subdivision and, if so, on what date?

2. Did the Minister accept any recommendations and, if not (a) for what reasons (b) were the people involved so informed?

Hon. Jean-Eudes Dubé (Minister of Public Works): 1. No. The Hearing Officer's report was tabled April 2, 1973 and summarizes the objections. Therefore it is not considered necessary to table the transcript, which is quite voluminous and for which translation and tabling procedures would be costly and time-consuming. The transcript is available in the department and may be examined by arrangement.

2. No recommendations were made in the Hearing Officer's report and none is required by the Act, the duties of the Hearing Officer and the nature of his report were explained to the people who were present at the Public Hearing. The two main objections were: (a) That the municipally-owned boat launching site not be expropriated; (b) That extensive environmental studies be carried out before building a new runway. Both of these objections were satisfied. The boat launching site was not expropriated and a complete study of the effects of a new runway is being made.

MR. PAUL MASON

Question No. 1,867—**Mr. Bawden:**

1. Was a Minister's permit issued to enable Mr. Paul Mason to enter Canada?

2. Did Mr. Paul Mason enter Canada under such a permit?

3. If a permit was issued, what were the special circumstances that made it impossible or inconvenient for Mr. Mason to seek admission in the ordinary way?

Hon. Robert K. Andras (Minister of Manpower and Immigration): 1. No. A Minister's Permit was, however, issued to allow Mr. Mason to remain in Canada.

2. No.

3. It is not in the public interest to divulge this information.