

shaping that legislation which this Court is so frequently called upon to consider, and in all that period of time he has been admittedly without a superior in his knowledge of the law and usages of Parliament. His wide experience of men and affairs will be of invaluable assistance to your Lordships; his appointment has met with general approval, and we at the Bar feel that while the dignity and honor of the Bench are safe in his keeping, the privileges of the Bar will suffer no impairment at his hands. We wish the new Judge a long and useful career."

The Chief Justice responded in feeling terms touching the death of Mr. Justice Gwynne. In the course of his eulogistic remarks he characterized the late Judge as beyond question the most industrious member of the Bench he had ever known. With reference to his successor, he welcomed him as his colleague in felicitous language.

We have heard with much surprise that the article in our issue of February 1st upon the Supreme Court has created an impression, not only among some of the legal profession in Ottawa but among those most intimately connected with the Supreme Court itself, that the article in question appeared to exonerate the Chief Justice from any share in the responsibility for the existing state of affairs in that Court, because it was mentioned that he was absent from the Court during the unseemly "squabble" referred to in the earlier part of that article. We should have supposed that it was sufficiently clear that our reference to the Chief's absence on the occasion in question was intended to draw attention to the fact that such unfortunate displays can occur even without his presence. Those who appear in that Court know perfectly well who is the real offender and where the blame lies.

We notice that the County of York Law Association, at its recent annual meeting passed a resolution to request the Law Society to make some change in the mode of electing Benchers by devising some system of nomination of men out of whom the proper number should be chosen, and urging that in future the list of Benchers whose term is about to expire should not be sent to the profession by the secretary as heretofore. We pointed out a year ago that the present system largely insures the re-election of the same men. We may assume that this is not the intention of the men in office. They may or may not be the best men; the minds of the profession are, however, certainly