

tax at war—I then delivered it as my opinion, (and I must confess I have seen no reason as yet to alter it) that the House might with equal propriety have been called upon to grant money for defraying the expence of erecting the new Barracks at Fredericton or to pay the New-Brunswick Regt.—The Barracks are a military erection; so is each of these Batteries—The Regt. is for the defence of the Province; so are the Batteries.—Here I think it also was sufficiently attentive to your interests, and manfully discharged its duty in refusing to establish such a precedent. A further consideration of this subject was recommended to it, but no attention was paid to this recommendation. From that moment the harmony and good understanding, which is always to be wished for between the two Houses, seemed to be at an end. The following doctrine was almost immediately after advanced by the Council, and strenuously maintained by their Committee of Conference on the appropriation Bill, viz. that it is unparliamentary and unconstitutional in the House of Assembly to dispose of any public money for any other purposes or services than those recommended by His Excellency, or (which comes to the same thing) than those, which they choose to advise him to recommend, as they are a Council of advice as well as Legislation.—An acquiescence in this declaration on the part of your Representatives I was then of opinion, and am so still, would have been tantamount to an absolute surrender of your property, rights and liberties, into the hands of the Council at once. But they ~~followed the idea of~~