## Stripped of all our rights <br> We as Canadians have but

one right left; that is the right to pay taxes. In Communist countries the citizens know they ve no ights, and accept it. unaware of it.

At any given time one of over six hundred different types of civil without your consent or approval The "justifications" may range from reasonable (whenever he or she wishes) suspicion of drug, or checks, fire safety checks, sheriff checks, fire safety checks, sheriff
seizure of property, electrical checks, meter persons, and a multitude of others.

You, as a Canadian citizen may at any time, in any place however public) be completely law enforcement officers of either sex, and have no legal recourse sex, and have no legal recourse since the officer is perfectly within
his/her right if he/she can prove reasonable suspicion (when in fact an anonymous phone call is unquestionable, and unprovable grounds for reasonable suspicion in a court of law). Under the authority of that same reasonable suspicion, your mail may also be apened and

This abolition of rights is due to no single huge change in our legal statutes as such, but due to hundreds of compounded, restrictive, trivial laws. Restrictions are
never imposed, instead they are gradually introduced into law. We as Canadians must push for a renewal of rights while we still can

Weda D. Sposmin

## Left is not the culprit

To Whom it may Concern: 1 should like to reply to the letter in your last issue (Nov. 25) size! Mr. Orr, you seem to feel the ails that Britain had can be blamed on "the left" while the current catastrophe cannot be laid equally at the feet of the high witchpriestess of monetarism. This like much of your favorite Getuway 1) People have come to cognize that to spend billions to defend America from Russian defend America from Russian month to scrub the halls of your baroncy hall is inequitable.
2) If in a democratic system everyone should start with equal opportunity then the government inherit vast fortunes and do not 3) Quoting Mosley about the basic nature of Anglo-Norman

## Aspidistra

by Alison Thomson

The separatist rally a week ago gives one furiously to think about the sort of people who are attracted to such a movement. At about the sort of people who are attracted to such a movement. At
first glance, there seems to be a fairly wide spectrum of people first glance, there seems to be a fairly wide spectrum of people
involved (or at least present at the rally; the number who become involved (or at least present at the rally; the number who become active workers for the cause is
most emotional political areas).

However, on closer examination, these people have a number of disturbing characteristics in common

First is a lack of rational grounds for their political beliefs.
Arguments are presented such as "the standard of living of the west would go up $30-50 \%$ without the east dragging us down." I would be most interested in seeing Western Canada concept leader he certainly doesn't seem to find it necessary to present it to his he certainl
audiences.

Or how about "They' re forcing French down our throats." In the New West, Christie assures us, there'll be one official language. The people who really go for this one are undoubtedly the same ones who have an apoplexy when they see French on the cornflakes box at breakfast. This is amazing; I have yet to hear a reasonable argument against learning French. North Americans are appallingly badly
educated in this regard. Our intellectual and cultural heritage is eeucated in this regard. Our intellectual and cultural heritage is
immensely broadened by the French culture, and to react in a stupid knee jerk "goddamn frogs" way against it says a good deal more about the person expressing those sentiments than he probably cares to the pers
realize.

This brings me to a second characteristic of grass roots western separatists I noticed the other night - a marked xenophobia. Not only do they feel threatened by the Quebecois presence in the country; immigrants are also a particular concern.

Any thinking person must realize we cannot attempt to solve the Third World's problems by allowing unlimited immigration to Canada. What most of us do not do is suggest either that Mr. Trudeau encouraged immigration to amass Liberal votes, or that one of our reasons for attending a separatist rally is to see that the new country will not allow any more of "those colored people" into the west. I am not making that statement up; I didn't have to. And as for leader Christie? "I'm not a racist. I saved a French Canadian from drowning once.

Fanaticism is a third characteristic I see in this group which makes me apprehensive. A good deal of it is centered on how much they hate Trudeau, rather than on the image of a "free" west. This feeling is cleverly exploited by the movement's leaders into a belief that the only way to foil Trudeau is to leave. The rude reception Nick Taylor received is another manifestation of the same phenomenon; there is a complete refusal to hear arguments for a renewed federalism. They have what passes for their minds firmly made up. More than one person watching in dismay saw Zieg Heil an appropriate cry for the group

Simply because of who is involved, both as organizers (the socalled blue-chip separatists), and as the grass-roots membership, considerable doubt is cast on the legitimacy of the organization. Further doubt is cast when one surveys the complete dearth of rational argument in favor of their position. I am not convinced by the statement that we are intrinsically different from Ontario because Ontario has an "Upper Canada" mentality (another Christie gem). Nor have I yet been convinced on economic grounds.

I haven't dealt with the separatists' logic because I häve yet to
ar it. The separatists themselves seem sufficient material of which hear it. The separatists thems
to dispose for the moment.
B. Wolter

It was drawn to, public V.P. Jan Byer is currently accepting bottle donations to aid in reducing unexpected SU liabilities. Does he realize the enormity of the situation Twelve million bottles would cover 23.9 square miles, 39.15 fields (including the endzones). Further to this, the SU is depriving students of valuable furniture materials. Using the (unfurnished) 2 bedroom apartment in HUB as an example we estimate that 275 cases per apartment are needed. The SU would have effectively deprived 3,636.3636 students of their furnishings.

We, the executive of the Citizens' Beer Bottle Furnishings Action Society (a non-profit
organization) are appalled at the development of this monopoly on

## LETTERS

Letters to the Gateway should be maximum of 250 words on any subject. Letters must be signed and include faculty, year and phone number. Anonymous
letters will not be published. All letters will not be published. All letters must be typed, though we will reluctantly accept them if they are very neatly written. We reserve the right to edit for libel and length. Letters do not necessarily reflect the views of the
Gateway.

## Closing the lid on the pro-gay Bible thumper

I hope the readers have not been lost by such a drawn out discussion of the inconsistencies in the articles that attempt to ustify the inclusion of homosexuality in Christianity. I wish to put Glenn Kowalsky's anemic attempt in its proper light (and to final rest), then you will hear from me no more on such a ridiculous subject.

The most interesting blunder of all had to be the paragraph on Romans $1: 26,27$. You said this applies only to homosexuals who were once heterosexuals. How does this prove that homosexuality is all right? It does not. Furthermore, if you read the passage carefully this time, you will see that it is a function that is being abandoned, not a gender as you allege. Therefore it does not take a heterosexual act before one can fall under the purview of this passage (Blunder \#4)

Now let's deal with what you allege I forgot. You are correct when you say that all of us are sinners. I never said that we weren't. However, you blundered again when you tried to use Matthew 11:28 to support your views. If you would have bothered to read the next verse you would have seen that, in order to be given 'Christ's rest', one has to
'learn of .Christ'. It doesn't take learn of Christ'. It doesn't take very much learning to figure homosexuality, as evidenced by what was recorded by his apostles. Label this one Blunder \#5

This same argument applies to John 6:37 after one takes the initiative to read down to verse 40. You are doing exactly what I said not to do (dissecting scripture). That's Blunder \#6. I assume you do not dispute the plain evidence of 1 Corinthians $6: 9,10$ $s$ you made no comment about it.

John $3: 16$ is very interesting, especially when read along with John 17:3. That same argument about taking in knowledge rears
not enough. We must attempt to behave ourselves, Glenn, and "behaving ourselves" does not include wilfull, repetitive homosexuality.

Well, Glenn, I trust that the fog has cleared and the readers can see which argument contains the proper research and contexts. Exegesis has nothing to do with this, despite your semi-arrogant request. Let's not tire the readers with any more blunders. Your mindless stridulation contains nothing to convince any rational person that homosexuality is ceptable to Christianity.

The "whole point" is not what you suggested (Blunder \#8). Sure, God is merciful towards our mistakes. However, if His Word so clearly condemns homosexuality, do you really expect him to blindly accept those who unrepentantly, maliciously slap Him in the fàce?

But mine was not a Bible lesson, Glenn. The lesson I wanted you to learn is this: If you
(or anyone, for that matter) want (or anyone, for that matter) want to argue, whether in print or orally, your argument must be supported with proper believable evidence. If you would have tried an argument of comparable sub-
stance in front of the Court of stance in front of the Court of Appeal, you would have been laughed out of the place.

Grant Fedorak

## Get your humor <br> in gear

Quick - before it's too late -
rush to the Gateway with rush to the Gateway with
your entry of 250 words or your entry of 250 words or
less on any subject by Monless on any subject by Mon-
day, December 1 .

## Bottles multiplying like bunnies

mend that the SU consider other
Astley.
viable alternatives such as: bake
sales, massage parlors,
procrastinationathons, paper drives (unmutilated Gateways
should suffice), scavenger hunts,

- Start a money-making business while you are still at University
- Be your owñ boss
- Work part-time
- Earn according to your efforts
- NO investment necessary
- Start on your way to financial independence

The Shaklee Opportunity can be your opportunity. Let's get together and talk about it.
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