

me the money or notes then, and he did not wish to have any conversation about where it was going to.

Q. Why?—That was his own business. He must have understood his own business best.

Q. Did he say he did not wish any conversation?—He did not speak to me at all about it. The only conversation I had was with Mr. Charlton when he handed me the notes. He said to me, "I am handing this to you to do as you please with it." Charlton said that. We had no discussion whatever. He said that. I recollect the words distinctly.

Q. Was that the reason you appropriated the money to your own use and benefit?—The reason was, I gave Mr. Roger value for that \$3,000.

Q. How?—In giving them that letter.

Q. You thought you were at liberty then to pocket that money?—Yes.

Q. Did you tell them that?—They knew very well I got it.

Q. Did you tell them you were going to pocket it?—There was no conversation as to what I was to do with it. They knew I was to get the money, and they did not wish to know where the money was going to.

Q. Why did you not tell them whether you were going to pocket it or not?—I did not think it was necessary. If a man sells you a horse, and you get 20 pounds for it, how does the man care where you put the money.

Q. Did Mr. Roger give you a suit of clothes about that time?—Yes; he said after it was done he was so well satisfied with the arrangement that he made me a present of a suit of clothes. We never had a row after this. We are on good terms now, and always have been, and am still on good terms.

Q. Did you consider in this transaction you were acting for Roger or Boyle?—Roger, I considered.

Q. Who was acting for Boyle?—I do not know.

Q. You were justified in plundering him to the extent of \$3,000. I use the word "plundering" advisedly?—I thought I was as well justified in taking it as the other parties. I thought I was as well justified in taking it from the man who protected me. You would like to make it that way, too.

Q. No, I would not. You consider your conduct perfectly honest?—Yes; perfectly honest and above-board. I consider it perfectly honest and above-board.

Q. Was not this pretty hard upon poor Patrick Boyle that you did not give him some share of this money?—He refused, and would not negotiate about it, and left his letter in my hands to hand in, and he left.

Q. Are you quite sure he went to Toronto?—I did not go to the cars to see him off. I went to the cars with another gentleman, and I saw him on the cars that morning going off. I think it was Tuesday morning, but I would not be positive. I won't swear it was not some other morning. This other gentleman was a friend of mine.

Q. Who was it?—John Hewson. I saw Mr. Boyle there.

Q. Mr. Boyle took his editor there?—I do not know whether he did or not. He may or may not have taken his editor with him.

Q. Did you give John Hewson any money that day? \$250?—I did not.

Q. Did not you give him any money?—I lent him. I think I lent him \$40 about that day.

Q. Lent John Hewson about \$40?—Yes. I have been acquainted with him for a number of years.

Q. Have you ever got that \$40 back again?—I have got a portion of it back.

Q. I have never heard of money getting back, lent in such circumstances?—I really cannot tell you how much I have got back. I have charged him with it, and he has had more since, and I have had it back again.

Q. How much more has he had since?—\$70 or \$80. I spent \$28 for him. It has not mounted up to more than \$70 or \$80. We were going to Quebec about a railroad matter.

Q. More tendering?—Not at present. There may be bye-and-bye.