

THE LEGISLATURE.

Mr. Wells, Mr. Pitts and University Question Once More.

The Osteopathy Bill Practically Defeated After Spirited Debate.

A Bill Relating to Rates and Taxes in the Province—The Highway Act.

FREDERICTON, N. B., March 11.—Mr. Davidson introduced a bill to incorporate the Alexander Gibson Railway and Manufacturing Company.

Hon. Mr. Emerson recommitted a bill to consolidate and amend the law relating to municipalities, Mr. Chipman in the chair.

The bill was still under consideration at six o'clock, when the house took recess till half-past seven o'clock.

At the hour of recess, Chairman Chipman stated that Speaker Burchill had an announcement to make to the house.

Mr. Speaker then came upon the floor of the house and addressed the members.

Mr. Speaker then came upon the floor of the house and addressed the members.

Mr. Speaker then came upon the floor of the house and addressed the members.

Mr. Speaker then came upon the floor of the house and addressed the members.

Mr. Speaker then came upon the floor of the house and addressed the members.

Mr. Speaker then came upon the floor of the house and addressed the members.

Mr. Speaker then came upon the floor of the house and addressed the members.

Mr. Speaker then came upon the floor of the house and addressed the members.

Mr. Speaker then came upon the floor of the house and addressed the members.

Mr. Speaker then came upon the floor of the house and addressed the members.

Mr. Speaker then came upon the floor of the house and addressed the members.

Mr. Speaker then came upon the floor of the house and addressed the members.

Mr. Speaker then came upon the floor of the house and addressed the members.

Mr. Speaker then came upon the floor of the house and addressed the members.

Mr. Speaker then came upon the floor of the house and addressed the members.

Mr. Speaker then came upon the floor of the house and addressed the members.

Mr. Speaker then came upon the floor of the house and addressed the members.

Mr. Speaker then came upon the floor of the house and addressed the members.

Mr. Speaker then came upon the floor of the house and addressed the members.

Mr. Speaker then came upon the floor of the house and addressed the members.

Mr. Speaker then came upon the floor of the house and addressed the members.

Mr. Speaker then came upon the floor of the house and addressed the members.

Mr. Speaker then came upon the floor of the house and addressed the members.

Mr. Speaker then came upon the floor of the house and addressed the members.

Mr. Speaker then came upon the floor of the house and addressed the members.

Mr. Speaker then came upon the floor of the house and addressed the members.

Mr. Speaker then came upon the floor of the house and addressed the members.

Mr. Speaker then came upon the floor of the house and addressed the members.

Mr. Speaker then came upon the floor of the house and addressed the members.

Mr. Speaker then came upon the floor of the house and addressed the members.

Mr. Speaker then came upon the floor of the house and addressed the members.

solidation act, Mr. O'Brien (Northumberland) chairman.

Mr. Pitts committed bill to authorize the city of Fredericton to issue debentures for certain purposes, Mr. O'Brien (Northumberland) chairman.

Hon. Mr. Tweedie committed bill authorizing town council of Chatham to issue debentures for the sum of twenty thousand dollars, Mr. Black chairman.

Progress was reported. Hon. Mr. Tweedie committed bill relating to license tax in the town of Chatham, Mr. Black chairman.

Mr. Wells, from the municipalities committee, submitted a report.

FREDERICTON, N. B., March 11.—Hon. Mr. Emerson rose to a question of privilege. He did not, he said, usually feel called upon to make any remarks on newspaper criticism, but he now felt that he would be doing an injustice to himself as well as to the house if he did not challenge the correctness of statements made in the Fredericton correspondence of the St. John Sun newspaper of today.

The hon. member cannot withdraw his motion without the consent of the house once it is discussed.

Mr. Speaker—The motion not having been made, it would be quite in order to withdraw the notice. I cannot, however, see that the hon. member for Kings would have the right to discuss the matter if he does not intend to move his resolution.

Mr. Fowler—Owing to the late state of the session, and the impression in some quarters that there cannot be a full discussion in the time of the session still left and to the unwillingness on my part to appear as wanting to take the slightest unfair advantage, I will therefore, with the consent of the hon. member, ask leave to withdraw my notice. The motion was agreed to.

Hon. Mr. White committed a bill further amending the New Brunswick Election Act of 1883, Mr. Hill in the chair.

One of the amendments proposed was the re-arrangement of the parishes in the parishes of Caraquet, Paquetville and Beresford, in Gloucester county.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

here that there is no member of the house a better friend of higher education than myself. (Applause.)

It is desirable, if the university be maintained, it shall be made capable of performing the best possible work; and there is no denying the fact that the institution is not.

Mr. Black—I rise to a point of order, Mr. Speaker. If the hon. member for Kings intends moving his resolution, all well and good, but if he intends to withdraw the resolution he should so inform the house. He would have no right to use the notice of motion simply for the purpose of making a speech.

Hon. Mr. Tweedie—Perhaps you had better not be too technical. Mr. Black—The university has nothing to fear.

Mr. Fowler—I would ask your hon. member's ruling.

Mr. Speaker—I have a right to assume that the hon. member for Kings, having given notice of resolution, will take his motion before he takes his seat in this house, so the hon. member is quite in the wrong.

Mr. Hill—I take the point that the hon. member cannot withdraw his motion without the consent of the house once it is discussed.

Mr. Speaker—The motion not having been made, it would be quite in order to withdraw the notice. I cannot, however, see that the hon. member for Kings would have the right to discuss the matter if he does not intend to move his resolution.

Mr. Fowler—Owing to the late state of the session, and the impression in some quarters that there cannot be a full discussion in the time of the session still left and to the unwillingness on my part to appear as wanting to take the slightest unfair advantage, I will therefore, with the consent of the hon. member, ask leave to withdraw my notice. The motion was agreed to.

Hon. Mr. White committed a bill further amending the New Brunswick Election Act of 1883, Mr. Hill in the chair.

One of the amendments proposed was the re-arrangement of the parishes in the parishes of Caraquet, Paquetville and Beresford, in Gloucester county.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

The village blacksmith is usually considered the type of a good man.

Even he sickens and dies frequently in early youth. No man, not even the most robust, is able to neglect his health, which is his most precious possession.

When a man finds that he is losing his appetite, that he passes restless nights, that he awakens in the morning unrefreshed and without ambition or mental or bodily vigor, when he is troubled by nervousness, nervousness or biliousness, it is time for him to take serious thought for his health.

These symptoms are by no means trivial, and are indicative of disorders that may lead to consumption, nervous prostration, disease, Dr. Pierce's Golden Medical Discovery is the best of all medicines for men and women who suffer in this way. It restores the lost appetite; gives new vigor to the liver and purifies and enriches the blood. It is the greatest of all nerve tonics. It cures 98 per cent of all cases of consumption, weak lungs, bronchitis, coughs, catarrhs, nervousness, and kindred ailments. It is a safe and reliable cure for nervous exhaustion and prostration. At all medicine stores.

Constipation often causes sickness. Dr. Pierce's Pleasant Pellets cure constipation. One little Pellet is a gentle laxative, and two a mild cathartic. They never grip. They are tiny, sugar-coated, anti-bilious granules, in little vials. Druggists have nothing else "just as good" to regulate the Stomach, Liver and Bowels.

thought, however, that a small percentage of the amount of the award might be held, as proposed by the hon. member, and the great bulk of the award paid to the stenographers.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

Mr. Polier asked that consideration of this amendment be left over till tomorrow, as he had had no intimation of the proposed change.

Mr. Barnes explained that when he had received the request from the hon. member for Kings, he met Mr. Polier in the house at the time, or he would certainly have been consulted.

in future shall do departmental work.

Dr. Alward approved of the measure.

Hon. Mr. White committed a bill further amending the law respecting arrest, imprisonment and examination of debtors, Mr. Hill in the chair.

Dr. Alward committed a bill relating to the value of real and personal property of William Parks & Son (Ltd), for taxation purposes, Mr. Leeger chairman.

Dr. Stockton committed bill in addition to, and in amendment of the law relating to the new St. John, Mr. Davidson chairman.

Hon. Mr. Tweedie committed a bill further amending the law relating to divorce and marriages, Mr. Hill chairman.

Mr. Fowler committed a bill to further extend the charter of the St. Lawrence and Maritime Provinces Railway company, Mr. Pinder in the chair.

Mr. Chipman committed a bill in amendment of the act to amend and consolidate the act incorporating the town of St. Stephen and the several acts in amendment thereto, Mr. O'Brien of Northumberland in the chair.

Mr. Wells committed a bill amending chapter 115 of the Consolidated Statutes of sewer and marsh lands, Mr. O'Brien of Northumberland in the chair.

Mr. Wells explained that the bill was intended to amend the law so far as it relates to the Misquash body of the marsh.

Progress was reported with leave to sit again.

Mr. Lockhart gave notice of motion for Thursday.

Resolved, that a humble address be presented to his honor the lieutenant governor praying that his honor may be pleased to cause to be laid before this house a detailed statement of the location and areas of the crown lands held by the Muskoka Lumber company under license, when purchased, what amount was paid for the different lots of land, how much lumber and of what kinds has been cut on said lands licensed to the company, what amount of stumpage and in what dates for lumber has been paid, and what is the amount of money paid in lieu of stumpage and on what dates; also copies of all correspondence with any person or persons in behalf of the Muskoka Lumber company, and of all letters written to any person whatsoever regarding the lands under license to the Muskoka Lumber company.

Adjourned at half past twelve o'clock this (Tuesday) morning.

FREDERICTON, N. B., March 16.—Mr. Wells said he rose to a question of privilege. He had seen in last night's Globe with reference to Mr. Fowler's New Brunswick University resolution, the statement: "It was even said that Mr. Fowler was unable to find a second, but it was understood this afternoon that Mr. Wells of Westmorland had consented to place himself in the gap."

Mr. Wells did not think that he had placed himself in the gap in any way. He had for about ten years been a teacher in common and grammar schools of the province, and closely in touch with our educational system. It had been his opinion for some years past that the university was not performing the work it should be considering the results of the Globe report would seem to indicate that the hon. member (Fowler) had some difficulty in finding a second. He did not know about that, but he would say that he (Wells) had offered freely to second the motion at the time it was made.

Mr. Barnes—I offered to second the resolution.

Mr. Wells said he had never been coaxed nor persuaded by Mr. Fowler to second the resolution. When it is nearly one thousand dollars for every graduate.

Mr. Pitts—I rise to a point of order. I do not object to the hon. member making an explanation, but when he proceeds to vilify the college I object unless I am given the right to reply.

Mr. Wells—I was simply explaining why I seconded the resolution, and stating that the Globe had no reason to draw the inference that I had consented to stand in the gap. I had looked into this matter and I thought when it cost this province about nine hundred dollars for each and every graduate, it turned out.

Mr. Black—This has nothing to do with the question of privilege. If the hon. member wanted the university discussed, we are prepared to discuss it. I think the hon. member is taking a very unfair advantage in making these remarks on a question of privilege.

Mr. Speaker—The hon. member

should try and confine himself to the question of privilege.

Mr. Wells—I was going to say that I thought it my privilege and duty to second this resolution, and let it come before the house, and I do not think I placed myself in any gap in doing so, nor was I solicited by Mr. Fowler to second the resolution for him.

Dr. Stockton—if the hon. member felt that it was a duty incumbent upon him in consequence of holding these views, to second the motion, he should not have consented to its withdrawal.

Mr. Chipman said he would like to rise to a real question of privilege which should be brought to the notice of this house. This morning evening a journal (published in London, Eng.) called the Colonies and India, and he found in that journal a statement which tended very much to injure the credit of the province. This erroneous statement would be circulated throughout the United Kingdom and all the colonies, and he would call the attention of the provincial secretary to it, and suggest that he