

buildings are built that look like chicken coops, and have no space for children.

**Some hon. Members:** Hear, hear.

**Mr. Caouette:** There are no yards for the children to play in, but instead, four-room apartments, for families of six or eight. Of course, one looks a little stupid, Mr. Speaker. There is a lack of space; is it because there is a shortage of land? Not so. But because first of all, there are material interests which defy all morality and impose such bills as Bill C-150.

Mr. Speaker, I have another letter here from a citizen of the county of Bellechasse, Mrs. Poulin; she writes:

Mr. Caouette, please give to the member—

—this letter—

—thank you. This is the first time a member has sent a referendum to his electors for a serious cause, as this one surely is; the reply is no.

Why abolish the—

As you can see, she has no university education, but rather that of a farmer with a lot of good common sense. And I continue the quotation:

Why abolish hanging for convicted murderers—

—under the pretext that we have no right to make an attempt on the life of others, in order to civilize the world.

—and allow the murder of an innocent—

—a child who is about to be born—

—who has not asked to live—

It is God who gives it to him.

He needs it and other people want to kill him. The responsible parents do not know what they are doing; they enjoy life foolishly—

Such is their purpose.

And that other member who said last week that life starts after three months, as if a being deprived of life could develop; Parliament has too many of those members, and to think that those empty heads are so well paid.

I do not know which empty head spoke at that time, for if I knew, I would name him.

And you Mr. Caouette, so courageous for the past thirty years, I am listening to you, no one speaks like you, people think that nothing can be achieved, they are so used to being whipped—

—with such political parties as we have.

—Thank you for taking the trouble of writing to me; it is a just cause that, I hope, you will win.

### *Criminal Code*

Mr. Speaker, this is a letter from the constituency of Bellechasse. Here is another from a constituent of Dorchester who thanks the member for Bellechasse (Mr. Lambert) for sending him that questionnaire.

I thank you for enabling ordinary people to express their views on Bill C-150. In view of the interest that you are taking in the matter, I am taking the liberty of setting forth my reasons for taking such a stand.

Since your questions are put outright, I have to answer them in the same manner, the more so as I have not seen the full text of the bill.

Yours very truly.

And the answer to the questionnaire is quite simple. It is clearly against abortion and against homosexuality. Signed:

Jean-Gérard Poulin.

Mr. Speaker, I want to quote another letter from Saint-Pacôme in Kamouraska riding:

I congratulate you for your concern for your constituents by asking their opinion on the omnibus bill. All M.P.'s could have done like you in order to know the opinion of their constituents. I am not from your area, but I give my opinion anyway: I am dead set against the omnibus bill, against abortion, against free homosexuality and against divorce.

When there is too much freedom and no authority, nothing good or nice remains!

I believe that the man (rather the husband) and the wife must take all responsibility in some very exceptional cases; why generalize for a few exceptions? Thank you for your kind attention towards the problems of one of your faithful readers.

Mr. and Mrs. Louis-Philippe Alexandre, Saint-Pacôme, Kamouraska county.

● (8:20 p.m.)

Mr. Speaker, we have heard the remarks of several members. I heard recently the member for Trois-Rivières (Mr. Mongrain) tell the house that he had the misfortune of losing his wife and his child at the same time, in child-birth.

Mr. Speaker, that really happened. We bereave such unfortunate happenings, of course. When my mother died in 1960, I lost everything. I did not know who to turn to and that was tough luck. But that does not mean we should legislate in the way of immorality by interfering with Christian morals.

Someone said that personal conscience must be respected. I am all for that, Mr. Speaker, but it should also be guided. Some people say that certain religious authorities support their views. And yet I have here statements which