## Questions

## ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

The following answers, deposited with the Clerk of the house, are printed in the official report of debates pursuant to standing order 39 :

## St. Lawrence seaway cost

## Question No. 48 -Mr. Regier:

1. What is the total cost, to date, of the construction on the St. Lawrence seaway project?
2. How much of this total cost was paid: (a) by Canada; (b) by the United States?
3. How much of the Canadian cost was ascribed to the power developments that were built in conjunction?
4. How much of the American cost was ascribed to the power developments that were built in conjunction?
5. Over what period of time were tolls estimated to repay the capital expenditures involved?
6. Has the expectation expressed in question 5 been realized to date? If not, for what reason, and what are the statistics of operations to date in regard to this?
Answer by: Hon. Leon Balcer (Minister of Transpori):
The St. Lawrence seaway authority advises as follows:
(N.B. The St. Lawrence seaway authority can supply the information requested only in respect of the Canadian portion of the navigation works of the St. Lawrence project. The power development was carried out by the Ontario government. Accordingly, the answers herein relate only to that portion of the navigation facilities constructed by Canada.)
7. Total capital expenditures to December 31, 1959, were $\$ 310,650,000$.
8. (a) $\$ 310,650,000$. (b) This is a matter which directly concerns the United States authorities.
9. See "N.B." above.
10. This is a matter which directly concerns the United States authorities.
11. 50 years.
12. Although the traffic to date has been less than the estimate of the tolls committee, it will be possible to give a conclusive reply only after the first five years of operation, which is considered to be the development period. In 1964 a complete study and review of the tolls structure will take place.

Statistics of operations to date are as follows:

|  | MONTREAL-LAKE ONTARIO | SECTION |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Upbound | Downbound | Total |
| No. of transits 1960. |  | 3,428 | 3,413 | 6,841 |
| No. of transits 1959. |  | 4,068 | 4,074 | 8,142 |
| No. of transits 1958. |  | 5,647 | 5,598 | 11,245 |
| 1960 Cargo-tons. |  | 8,775,176 | 11,503,830 | 20,279,006 |
| 1959 Cargo-tons. |  | 10,950,000 | 9,640,000 | 20,590,000 |
| 1958 Cargo-tons. |  | 4,670,000 | 7,092,000 | 11,762,000 |

## WELLAND CANAL SECTION

|  | Upbound | Downbound | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of transits 1960 | 3,738 | 3,634 | 7,372 |
| No. of transits 1959. | 4,128 | 4,052 | 8,180 |
| No. of transits 1958 | 4,405 | 4,331 | 8,736 |
| 1960 Cargo-tons. | 8,304,585 | 20,511,857 | 28,816,442 |
| 1959 Cargo-tons. | 9,660,000 | 17,870,000 | 27,530,000 |
| 1958 Cargo-tons | 5,005,000 | 16,269,000 | 21, 274,000 |

## WOOD MACHINERY IMPORTS FROM UK-U.S.WESTERN GERMANY

## Question No. $54-\mathrm{Mr}$. Boulanger:

1. During 1959 and 1960 for the three following countries: the United States, the United Kingdom and Western Germany, (a) what was the amount
of wood machinery imported from each of the said countries? (b) what classes of wood machinery were imported from the said countries? (c) what are the amounts and the quantity for each class of wood machinery imported from the said countries?
[^0]
[^0]:    Answer by: Hon. George H. Hees (Minister of Trade and Commerce):

