| Berger, | Godin, | McKinley, | Robichaud, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Blouin, | Grafftey, | McWilliam, | Rochon, |
| Boulanger, | Gray, | Madill, | Rock, |
| Bower, | Greene, | Marchand, | Rynard, |
| Byrne, | Grills, | Mather, | Saltsman, |
| Cadieux, | Guay, | Matte, | Sauvé, |
| Cameron (Nanaimo- | Gundlock, | Mongrain, | Schreyer, |
| Cowichan-The | Haidasz, | Monteith, | Scott (Victoria |
| Islands), | Hellyer, | Munro, | (Ont.)), |
| Cantin, | Isabelle, | Nesbitt, | Sherman, |
| Chatwood, | Johnston, | Neveu, | Smith, |
| Choquette, | Knowles, | Nicholson, | Stafford, |
| Chrétien, | Lachance, | Nielsen, | Stanbury, |
| Churchill, | Laflamme, | Nixon, | Starr, |
| Clancy, | Laing, | O'Keefe, | Stewart, |
| Clermont, | Lambert, | Olson, | Tardif, |
| Comtois, | Langlois | Orange, | Teillet, |
| Côté (Longueuil, | (Chicoutimi), | Orlikow, | Thomas |
| Crossman, | Laniel, | Otto, | (Maisonneuve- |
| Crouse, | Laprise, | Pascoe, | Rosemont), |
| Davis, | Latulippe, | Pepin, | Thompson, |
| Dionne, | Laverdière, | Peters, | Tolmie, |
| Drury, | Leblanc (Laurier), | Pickersgill, | Tremblay, |
| Dubé, | Lefebvre, | Pilon, | Trudeau, |
| Duquet, | Legault, | Prittie, | Tucker, |
| Enns, | Lessard, | Prud'homme, | Turner, |
| Fane, | Lewis, | Rapp, | Walker, |
| Faulkner, | Lind, | Régimbal, | Watson (Château- |
| F'awcett, | Loiselle, | Reid, | guay-Huntingdon- |
| Forest, | MacEachen, | Ricard, | Laprairie), |
| Forrestall, | MacInnis (Mrs.), | Richard, | Webb, |
| Fulton, | Mideout (Mrs.), | Whelan, |  |
| Gendron, | Mackasey, | Wilders, | Yanakis-137. |
| Gilbert, | MacLean (Queens), | Rinfret, |  |

## Nays

Mr:
Allard-1.
And a point of order having been raised as to the propriety of the taking of the preceding division;

## RULING BY MR. SPEAKER

Mr. Speaker: Even at this late hour I feel I should be given an opportunity to rule on the very interesting and important point raised by the honourable Member for Queens (Mr. MacLean). I can assure the honourable Member I have taken this into serious consideration. In many ways his point is academic because he knows, as intimated by his remarks, that at least to some extent we are faced with a Standing Order which is part of our Canadian rules and governs the practice of this House. That Standing Order is to the effect that on a division the Yeas and Nays shall not be entered unless demanded by five Members. Standing Order No. 9 states that if five Members rise there shall be a division.

As honourable Members appreciate, it is rather difficult for the Chair to decide whether the five Members rising are among those who said "Yea" or "Nay". Perhaps what the Member is suggesting is that the Chair at the moment should ask the five Members whether they were among those who said "Yea" or "Nay".
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