

brain dizzy, and are you on the verge of self-destruction? Then, apply to the greatest specialists. We warrant our work in every case. Old men, have you burned the wick of life at both ends—is your sexual life gone? We bring it back; we guarantee our work," and so on.

Dr. MOORE—I made a motion that the report be adopted as amended, and Dr. Sangster's remarks seem to me to be quite irrelevant.

Dr. SANGSTER—I may be out of order in reading this, and if so, I will sit down. I merely wanted to enquire whether that man was to continue to travel through the United States as a member of this College.

The PRESIDENT—Dr. Moore, as a member of the committee, might give you some information.

Dr. MOORE—I am glad to say I have never received any of those papers, and I did not know he was touring the United States in this manner; but I suppose he has a right to tour the United States as he pleases; he is out of our jurisdiction. He is under suspended sentence, and if he comes back here I presume he will be taken in hand right away (the sword is over his neck), and probably at the next meeting of the Council the full penalty of the law will be meted out to him. But at present he is out of our jurisdiction; we cannot follow him to Milwaukee.

Dr. MOORHOUSE—I wish to remark that I do not think we as a Council, in the face of this proof that Dr. Sangster has just read, should allow this man to go abroad under our cover or protection. True, he is under suspended sentence, but I think that this is a fresh proof that the old Adam is still operating in him as lively as ever. I think we ought to strike him off the Register, or he will be back here, no doubt, before long. I think we have been long-suffering enough and that he ought to be stricken off without further delay.

Dr. DICKSON—Does not suspended sentence mean that he must commit another offence before we can take further action?

Dr. MOORE—No.

Dr. DICKSON—Then cut him off.

Dr. SANGSTER—Certainly, if another man is brought up under the report of the Discipline Committee and it is pleaded we should allow him to go under suspended sentence, in view of the fact that he can go and travel through the States, though under that suspended sentence, and circulate foul stuff of that kind, then the Council will be very loath indeed to let him off under suspended sentence.

Dr. MOORHOUSE—Is the discussion of Dr. McCully's character before the chair on that report?

The PRESIDENT—No, it is out of order.

Dr. McLAUGHLIN—Can we not amend that report by instructing the Discipline Committee, if they are still alive, to strike Dr. McCully's name off the Register?

Dr. THORBURN—Does his name appear in that paper as a licentiate of this College?

Dr. SANGSTER—Yes.

Dr. BRITTON—When Dr. McCully's case came before the Council for consideration, I was one of those who pleaded that he might go under suspended sentence, notwithstanding he had acted in such a way as to humiliate and disgrace this profession largely in this country, and notwithstanding I had to say on that occasion, he was, amongst all sinners against decency and propriety, one of the greatest—at the same time because of the promises he made and because I had a certain amount of confidence in his word that he would keep those promises, I pleaded for him. Now I feel just as strongly the other way. We have dealt with the matter very patiently; and I am not very certain whether—supposing we pass that resolution as an amendment to that report, and instruct the Discipline Committee to have his name taken off the Register—we would not be acting *ultra vires*; and it might be that an appeal from our decision to a higher court would be sustained. I will move that the Discipline Committee be instructed to get the advice of our Solicitor as to the speediest and best method of proceeding for the purpose of removing Dr. McCully's name from the Register.

Dr. MOORE—This man is out of our country and out of our jurisdiction entirely. He can commit what crimes he has a mind to in a foreign country, and while he remains there we cannot punish him here for what he does there. Dr. Sangster has read an advertisement, but we do not know, as a matter of fact, that Dr. McCully instigated that advertisement. We have no proof whatever that Dr. McCully authorized anybody to put that in the paper; and for us to take from a man his license and erase his name from the Register upon an advertisement appearing in a paper, without any proof that it was upon his authority or at his instigation, seems to me would be an action totally unwarranted. And I also wish to point out that the Discipline Committee have no power to strike a man's name off the Register; they simply take the evidence and report to this Council. It is only this Council that can strike a name off the Register; and to ask this Council to-night or to-morrow to strike a man's name off the Register simply because we find an advertisement with his name attached to it—not his signature—to my mind would be a very dangerous course to pursue.