Now it is for me, after the foregoing to urge the necessity of distinctive Church teachings in our Sunday-schools. The Sunday-school, as we know, is a modern institution of not much over 100 years standing, but in these degenerate days a necessity, if we wish our children to re-ceive any religious instruction, for all such is excluded from the public schools in our land; but not so in the early Church days, or even in the days of our forefathers, when religion was the basis of all learning. It is not only the un-believer and the godless that clamour for the exclusion of religion from our schools, but also many of the sects, who would put all religions on one dead level. Under this state of things the Romanist has the advantage of us with their separate schools. One of their Bishops said give me a child until it is twelve years old and I have no fear of losing it afterwards. Dissent was unknown in the English Church until a little over 300 years, first the Baptist and Presbyterian, then Methodist and the hundreds of other isms up to our days. Then we may teach our children that for at least 1,500 years, the Church into which they have become privileged members by baptism, has held the truth as once for all delivered by the Apostles—not deviating from or adding thereto. These facts, if properly presented to our children, should surely imbue them with some love for their Church and faith in its Apostolic origin and teachings.

I would ask where is the necessity of going outside of our own Church for sound and faith ful teaching in our Sunday-schools, or for our interpretation of the Holy Scriptures. Have we not an open Bible with our almost inspired Prayer Book—truly suitable for all sorts and conditions of men. Yet those outside of our communion pooh-pooh this our heritage as of merely human composition, when it contains all essential points of Faith and practice of the Apostolic Church of the first century, and has been handed down from age to age in every part of the globe the same. Expunge the Bible from the Prayer Book and nothing would be left. Within this priceless book have we not all the requirements for the true teaching of the children of the Church-in our simple and clear catechism faithfully taught and understood. What of the Thirty-nine Articles of religion? do our children know anything of them? I doubt if 10 per cent of them do, or under what circumstances they were formulated after the reformation, when the British Church purged herself tion, when the British Church purged herself from Romish superstitions. Then, of the creeds, they may be used, but are they clearly taught, daily they may say, "I believe in God the Father Almighty and in Jesus Christ," etc., this first part we may say they are taught to believe, but what of the latter part, "I believe in the Holy Catholic Church"? This is as much the great of the Church as the former. Do they creed of the Church as the former. Do they believe it, are they taught to understand what it means to them as baptized members of that Church. In my youth a child was thought little of, if it could not clearly repeat the catechism, and with a fair knowledge of the Thirtynine Articles of his religion; would it were so in this day? Much more might be said on this part of my subject did time permit.

I would ask, are we consistent and honest in the teaching of our children as to their real position in the Church? Do we not rather by the terms we use, and our action towards those outside of us lead them to believe that "one church is as good as another." If this view is correct, what is there to hold them in a true allegiance to the good old paths of their fathers; and thus the Church of England becomes the recruiting ground of the sects. On this question of one church is as good as another, the Bishop of Algoma says in a letter in the English Guardian as to an appointment in his diocese: "I am strongly opposed to the theory of one "church being as good as another, and should

"this be Mr. C.'s view must decline to receive him."

Owing to the Consolidation of the Church in B. N. A. it has been our privilege to hear for the first time read in all our churches a Pastoral from a full House of Bishops of the Canadian Church, in which among other things definite teaching was enforced, showing that our Bishops are in full accord on this most important question.

Do our children know anything of the grand history of the English Church, and the struggle for centuries to throw off the yoke of Rome? Are they not rather misled by the way the Church of the Reformation is applied to us as without any knowledge of this history prior to that period? are they not led to suppose that we left Rome and set up a new Church? Rome of course tries to prove this so as to make us one of the sects; who also use it so as to bring the Church of England down to their own level.

Then again, as the term Protestant is applied to us, mixing the Church up with every conceivable form of the Christian religion except Rome; we are a Protestant Church, for we not only protest against the Roman dogmas, but also against the forms of heresy and schism, as we pray in our Litany to be delivered from all such.

Do our children not hear it asserted that there is no visible Church, that the Church is purely spiritual, composed of all who love the Lord and Saviour and is in the heart? If this is so there is no use for the Apostles' teachings as laid down for our guidance and faith in the New Testament Scriptnres as to Christ's Church on earth. I have had it said to me, do you not make too much of the Church: my answer is, is it possible to make too much of what I believe Christ Himself established on earth.

I do not doubt some will say that I am illiboral towards those outside the Church. I do not presume to judge them, to their Master they must stand or fall. I concede to them what I claim for myself, to defend and uphold what I believe to be right and true. And in conclusion will only say that if there is no "necessity for distinctive Church teaching in this so called enlightened age of religious confusion and contradictions, the Catholic Church may as well give up the conflict and let the world run riot in religions.

INTER-DIOCESAN SUNDAY-SCHOOL EXAMINATIONS,

ADVENT 1893,

75 per cent, of the marks required for first- class. 50 per cent, for second-class. 25 per cent, for pass. Maximum on two papers, 200.	oripture Paper	rayer-book Paper	otal
Teachers—Second Class		-	
Miss Carrie Orr, St. Mark's S.S., Toronto	58	85	143
Miss Elizabeth Scrivener, St. John's, York Mills	62	75	137
Miss Mollie M. Orr, St. Mark's, Toronto	55 5c	78 71	133 130
Miss Alice Owen, St. Anne's, To-	<i></i> 0		129
Miss Clara M. McConnell, George town, Ont			128
Miss A. L. Applebe. Esquesing,	58		127
Miss May Saunders, St. George's, Kingston.	47	78	125
Miss Grace Thompson, St. Mary Magdelene, Lloydtown Miss May Lingham, St. Thomas',	5 6	65	121
Belleville	55	62	117

•		3
ت	Miss Z. McGuire, Georgetown, Ont. 53 6	3 116
	Mr. James H. Morrison, Ashgrove, Ont 55 5	S 113
	Miss Jennie Bradley, Georgetown, Ont	6 101
	Miss Susie Briggs, Grace Church, Toronto	1 101
	Pass.	
	Miss Loretta Morrison, Ashgrove,	
	Ont	
	Miss Louise Morrison, Ashgrove, Ont	
	Miss Sadie Nicholson, Grace Church, Toronto	
	Source Riber Cries	
	Miss Annie Newton, All Saints',	n
	Miss Katie Bowling, All Saints',	
	Toronto	
	Cornwallis, N.S	3 159
	Toronto	
	Miss Helen Good, Shantz Bay, Ont. 72 8 Miss Gertrude Girdlestone, St. Phil-	
	ips, Toronto 75 76	5 150
	SCHOLARS—SECOND CLASS. Miss Aggie L. Cox, St. John's,	
	Cornwallis, N.S. 73 7 Miss Edith Abel, Trinity, Barrie 69 70	1 144 0 139
	Miss Lizzio McClellan, St. Philip's, Toronto	
	Miss Eliza Healey, St. John's, Cornwallis, N.S. 56 6.	
	Miss Grace Jones, St. Philip's, To-	
	Miss Maggie Mitchell, St. Philip's,	
	Toronto	
	Miss Ida Curran, St. Philip's, Toronto	
	Master Harry Perry, St. Mary Mag- dulene, Lloydtown 58-5	4 112
	Master Tracey Curry, Esquesing, Ont	
	Miss Mabel Stevenson, St. Anne's, Toronto	9 106
	Miss Maggie Elingsby, St. Anne's, Toronto	3 100
	Pass.	
	Miss Wilhemma Thompson, George-	
	Miss Wilhemina Thompson, George- town	
	ronto	
	Miss Lena Johnson, St. Thomas'.	
	Bellevillo	_
	Magdalene, Lloydrown. 56 2 Master Chas. DeCue, Trinity, Bar-	-
	rie	•
	Miss Minerva Howard, St. Ste-	
	phen's, Toronto	
	Dundalk	
	Montreal	
	[Signed], J. Bradford, Archdeaco	., .,,
	H. Pollard.	Exami
	G. B. KIRKPATRICK.) E