

Society, being then present and voting, viz.: The Treasurer, Messrs. Barwick, Bayly, Bell, Hon. S. H. Blake, Britton, Bruce, Gibbons, Guthrie, Hogg, Hoskin, Osler, Ritchie, Robinson and Teetzel—That Mr. Fergus James Travers be absolutely suspended for a period of seven years from the practice of the profession of a barrister and solicitor, that he is unworthy to practice as such solicitor, and that such suspension be communicated to the High Court pursuant to the statute in that behalf.

Resolved, that the secretary do forthwith give notice to the High Court of Justice for Ontario that the Benchers of the Law Society of Upper Canada in Convocation duly assembled had to-day adopted the report made after due enquiry by a committee of their number, known as the Discipline Committee, whereby Mr. Fergus James Travers had been found guilty of professional misconduct and conduct unbecoming a barrister and solicitor, that he is unworthy to practise as such solicitor, and thereupon the benchers in Convocation, as aforesaid, did suspend him, the said Fergus James Travers, from practising as barrister and solicitor for seven years, to take effect from December 9th, 1898.

On report of the Legal Education Committee, ordered that Mr. A. J. Kappeler be called to the Bar (with honors); that Messrs. E. W. Jones and F. L. Smiley be called to the Bar; that Messrs. Kappeler and Jones receive their certificates of fitness; that Messrs. G. H. Levy and A. A. Miller be called to the Bar; that Messrs. L. M. Lyon, E. Gillis and C. C. Hayne be called to the Bar and receive their certificates of fitness; and that Messrs. C. E. T. Fitzgerald and Kenneth Langdon be admitted as students-at-law of the Matriculant Class as of Trinity Term, 1898.

The following gentlemen were then introduced and called to the Bar: Messrs. A. J. Kappeler (with honors), F. L. Smiley, W. Thornburn, C. C. Hayne, E. W. Jones, A. A. Miller and E. Gillis.

Flotsam and Jetsam.

A lawyer in court the other day, after a close cross-examination of a witness, an illiterate Irishwoman, in reference to the position of the doors and windows, etc., in her house, asked the following question: "And now, my good woman, tell the court how the stairs run in your house." To which the good woman replied: "How do the sthairs run? Shure, whin I'm oop sthairs they run down, and whin I'm down they run oop."—*Household Words.*

An excellent story was told by the LORD CHIEF JUSTICE in court on Saturday, shewing that a previously convicted prisoner must be wary when answering incidental questions from the Bench in giving his evidence before a jury. "I remember a case," said his Lordship, "in which a very innocent remark of my own elicited the fact of a previous conviction. A prisoner was addressing the jury, very effectively, as I thought, on his own behalf. But he spoke in a low voice, and, not hearing some part of his observations, I said, 'What did you say? What was your last sentence?'—'Six months, my Lord,' he replied."