

support them, they must have been objects near his heart. Equally absurd is it to alledge that other and better principles are taught and professed likewise, and that the general tendency of the publication seems to be a good one. In these days, when jacobinism is become so generally detested; and all governments are so much upon the alert against it, no man would dare to profess such doctrines openly and directly; they must be introduced and disseminated covertly, and guardedly, suspicion must be avoided, and even an outward appearance of obedience and loyalty may be made the stalking horse to cover designs destructive of both. A few pages indeed at the beginning are quite as constitutional, as the best subject could express, but after that, except a part at the end where unlawful oaths are condemned, almost all the book is occupied with those subjects, and principles, which it is the design of these observations to point out; principles totally *inconsistent* with the professed object of the Letter. If Mr. *Burke* really thought insurrection so great an evil as he states it to be, would he have been so earnest in propagating the jacobinical rights of the people? If he so much respected Government, and honoured "our most gracious sovereign," would he have endeavoured by such arts to lower the salutary prejudice which ought to be entertained respecting all Royal persons, and would he have robbed His Majesty of one of the brightest jewels in his crown