

The Gateway

member of the canadian university press

editor-in-chief Al Scarth

managing editor Ginny Box sports editor Bob Anderson
news editors Sid Stephen, layout editor Joe Czajkowski
Peggi Selby photo editor Dave Hebditch
short shorts editor Beth Nilsen page forum five Jim Carter

STAFF THIS ISSUE—Those who came out to get stuffed this issue (safely) included Heather Colyer, Judy Samoil, Irene Harvey, Barb McLevin, Beth Winteringham, Beth Nilsen and Ellen Nygaard. The stuffers who rose to the occasion: Tom Abele, Darrell Colyer, Dale Rogers, Bob Blair, Barry Nicholson, Dan Jamieson and Winston Gereluk. And yours of course truly the usual self-satisfied snake, Harvey gee Thomgirt.

The Gateway is published tri-weekly by the students' union of the University of Alberta. The editor-in-chief is responsible for all material published herein. Final copy deadline for Tuesday edition—6 p.m. Monday, Advertising—noon Thursday prior; for Thursday edition—6 p.m. Wednesday, Advertising—noon Monday prior; for Friday edition—6 p.m. Thursday, Advertising—noon Tuesday prior; Casserole—copy deadline 6 p.m. Monday, Advertising—noon Friday prior. Short Shorts deadline, 3 p.m. day prior to publication. Advertising manager Percy Wickman, 432-4241. Office phones 432-5168, 432-5178. Circulation 13,000. Circulation manager Wayne Box.

Printed by The University of Alberta Printing Services.

PAGE FOUR TUESDAY, JANUARY 20, 1970

SUB expansion

by Al Scarth

You may wonder when you are through reading this why it is titled SUB expansion.

For years, The Gateway through its editorial and supplement pages has been talking about education. Today there is an article on page eight by staffer Ellen Nygaard which talks about education.

The reason The Gateway talks about education is because no one else seems to care. As Miss Nygaard points out, Mr. Kemp is an exception to the rule.

Publications and doctorates and all that rigamarole that determines whether a man is "fit" to deserve tenure make little headway in the mind of a professor like Ted Kemp.

He likes his students. His students come into The Gateway office to say that they like him. His students came into The Gateway office to say that Ted Kemp does not grade his courses like most professors deem it wise to do.

And there are a few others like the Ted Kemp who has been judged unsuitable to practise his art at this university.

Those others include people like Brian Campbell and Winston Gereluk, and Dan Carroll who happen to write for this paper. They wonder if it is all worth it.

Fortunately, usually they decide it is. But sometimes they get a few beer in them or a few bad lectures and they blow.

They blow and they say things like it's no good, man, I wrote about that or thought about that years ago and it's still the same. Brian Campbell wrote about it, Winston Gereluk wrote about it, Mr. Carroll put out Friday's Casserole, and still the beat goes on.

So all right, they're not run of the mill, maybe they have particular axes to grind and maybe they're just disgruntled individuals who can't fit in. But I don't think so.

There comes a time in every man's life when he decides whether he is going to listen to a predominantly establishment point of view or one of the other ones. Perhaps it is better said by stating that some people are critics and some people are doers.

But that isn't right either. To be a good critic, you *have* to be a doer. You have to get people upset, you have to question and challenge. You have to offer alternatives.

Well, Brian Campbell preceded Winston Gereluk and Thursday he will tell you what it has done for him—offering alternatives, that is.

In short, nothing. People don't seem to listen when you are reasonable. To get them to move, you have to confront them, challenge them, question them. Mr. Gereluk is slightly newer to the system. He still believes in rational debate and compromise. Well, he is coming around too. You can sense that when he talks about the education governing circles and the fact that the only people who raise serious objections to the policies in the faculty are the student representatives. The only other questions that seem to come up in faculty meetings are those from the "eager young professors who want to make their mark as intelligent question-askers."

That's it, here comes the referendum. Vote yes. Vote yes with the consideration that the students' council has done its damndest for you in the service aspect of the union.

Vote yes because the expansion at least means a little more communication among students.

Vote yes because there should be a place on campus where the structures fall, where student meets professor, ego to ego over a glass.

Vote yes because you won't have to go a block farther to buy your tube of toothpaste.

But don't vote yes on the level that students are really doing something at the University of Alberta. That \$2,250,000 isn't worth a pinch when it comes to basic change.

Yes

by Dennis Fitzgerald Students' union treasurer

Together with the students' council I am urging you to vote in favor of SUB expansion.

The need

On the basis of enrollment figures provided by the university in 1963-64 the present SUB was designed and built to handle an enrollment ceiling of 18,000 students supposed to be reached by 1975. The campus population surpassed this figure with the 1969-70 registration. The provincial government has now announced a ceiling of 25,000 students and realistic growth rates indicate this will be the campus population by 1974.

The SUB was overcrowded the year it opened. Building use studies show that each day:

- over 6,000 people eat in the cafeterias
- over 1,500 sales are made at the information desk
- over 1,500 people use the games area
- over 20,000 people use the building.

All facilities are over-burdened and if action is not taken, the situation will be catastrophic

next year.

Plans for a proposed expansion to meet the needs of a greatly expanded campus have been approved by council and we must have your vote to go forward with the project.

Pub in SUB

The plans call for a 500 seat PUB with food facilities. Prospects of amendments to government regulations to allow for a PUB will be more than forceful if you approve the expansion. Through revenues produced by the PUB we will be able to help finance the rest of the addition.

The mall

By 1975 the total campus population will be nearing 40,000 people. Community commercial services must be provided to meet their needs. Leases with their commercial facilities are estimated to provide \$92,000 each year to help finance the rest of the addition. Without this lease revenue it would have cost each student on campus \$6 for SUB expansion instead of the current \$3.

The auditorium

An auditorium of 14,000 sq. ft. will be constructed so the

Dinwoodie cafeteria can be renovated to provide better food service than at present.

Lounge area

The expansion calls for a considerable increase in lounge areas. The present SUB courtyard will be enclosed to add 10,000 sq. ft. more for people to congregate.

A bag lunch area will be opened with a full complement of vending machines.

The previously mentioned points are only a few of the possibilities an expansion can provide. Renovations will be carried out in the present structure to ease the strain.

Personal views

Try and find a place in the cafeteria at noon, or space to sit down in the theatre lobby and it is clear that the situation is intolerable. Unless the pressure is eased the SUB will be wrecked within five years. The expansion will provide much needed "comfortable space" that was not provided in the present building. Places to sit and talk and places to rest, will all be provided for in the expansion. The SUB has proved to be highly functional and the expansion

Gateway



"I suppose it's a good deal, even if it provides only places to sit around and have chit-chats."
Robert Swanson
ed 4



"I think it's a great thing. Things like the mall can be a great help to residence students. It will be nice to have a set place for dances and other social activities, too."
Irene Lyszczyc
sci 1



"I suggest that the present set up is very good, and they should leave it as it is."
Philip Seng
eng 1



"It's a pretty good idea. I think the facilities are a little inadequate as they stand."
Bob McGarvey
sci 1



"It gets kind of crowded here at times. As for sitting and relaxing, there is no space that."
Kathy McLevin
ed 2

