

Held, that the above was in substance an imperative declaration of a trust of the whole remainder for the equal benefit of the two sisters with a discretionary power reposed in the trustee as to its mode of execution, and the Court would undertake to discharge vicariously what could not otherwise be done, owing to J. C. predeceasing the testator, by referring it to the Master to ascertain the proper mode of carrying out the directions of the will.

Re Charteris, 25 Gr. 376, commented on.

Order made referring it to the Master to work out a scheme for the application and distribution of the fund. — *Charteris v. Charteris et al.*, 738.

WINDING UP

See COMPANY, 1, 3.

WITNESS.

Competency.]—*See SEDUCTION.*

WORDS, CONSTRUCTION OF.

“*Error or miscalculation.*”]—*See ASSESSMENT AND TAXES*, 3.

“*Line of credit.*”]—*See BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY*, 1.

“*Rig.*”]—*See STATUTE OF FRAUDS*, 2.

“*Work.*”]—*See STATUTE OF FRAUDS*, 2.

“*By reason of the railway.*”]—*See RAILWAYS*, 1.

“*Contrary intention.*”]—*See WILL*, 2.