whole stock-in-trade during the discussions which followed the Union movement for a few years. We are not at all surprised now at his inexcussible diatribes. The Church he has defamed for years, and employed all his power to crush, is still, not only in existence, but increasing in efficiency and power, for the successful prosecution of her divine mission in the Dominion. She has the confidence of the government and the sympathy of the people among whom she labors. The wrath of Drs. Ryerson and Carroll is doubtless very great, but why should the ministers of the M. E. Church be made a burnt offering to appease it? To those who are familiar with Dr. Ryerson's career since 1833, in respect both to political and ecclesiastical matters, it is amusing to hear him lecture other people upon the regularity of their standing and the consistency of their practice. He himself, during the last forty years, has been identified with nearly all political parties, and the advocate of opinions and principles of the most opposite character, in things pertaining to both Church and State. His abilities we freely admit and properly estimate, but his erratic course has deprived him in a great measure of the confidence of the Canadian public. His strong predilections and extravagant animosities toward those who might happen to agree or differ with him, make him an unsafe authority to follow. He has exhibited a keen ability as a controversialist, and developed a very remarkable facility

> "To turn about, and wheel about, And jump Jim Crow,"

when occasion offered or circumstances required.

ANOTHER SERIOUS OFFENCE.

The pusillanimous author of the letter published in the Guardian of Dec. 25, under the caption of "An Unfounded

er ck

s,

ot ld ers ho al he er to nal

ler

ess nd to ir a E. or in cktor , is ces an

ful n's