

bearing upon the affair. From my long acquaintance with Mr Hancock, and the high opinion I hold of him, I certainly think the heirs could not secure his equal to visit London to make searches &c., so as to shape a course for immediate action. In our case, it is important to secure a man in whom we have confidence, and that confidence you cannot have in a stranger for this particular thing. I know I have the right man in the right place. The next thing to be done is for the heirs to act upon it. I believe for a small sum, say \$500, Mr. Hancock could be persuaded to go to London, to remain, say 3 months or less, with a smaller amount of money, with power to draw, if satisfactory proof of his doings. I believe there never was a better chance of testing our claim for a nominal charge, believing that the heirs will not let the chance go by.

I am, dear sir,

Yours respectfully,

A. W. KAULBACK,

53 East 9th Street.

New York, July 6, 1878.

Thomas A. James Esq Halifax, N. S.

Dear Sir.—

I had the pleasure this morning of a visit from my personal and intimate friend, A. W. Kaulback Esq., at which interview he submitted to me certain documents relating to the Estate of the Hyde