

S.O. 43

[English]

PIPELINES

GUIDELINES AND REGULATIONS FOR ALASKA HIGHWAY
PIPELINE—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

Mr. F. Oberle (Prince George-Peace River): Mr. Speaker, I rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43 to propose a motion of urgent and pressing necessity. Having regard to the tabling of preliminary documents outlining the government's position with respect to environmental and socioeconomic guidelines in connection with the proposed Alaska Highway pipeline, and in light of the many inconsistencies, omissions and contradictions contained in the discussion paper and, further, regarding the fact that there has never been a study of the impact in socioeconomic or environmental terms in most areas touched by the pipeline, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Vancouver South (Mr. Fraser):

That the committee of the House known as the special pipeline committee be reactivated immediately with a mandate to conduct hearings and call witnesses for the purpose of establishing clear guidelines and regulations designed to safeguard the legitimate interests of all affected.

Mr. Speaker: Such a motion can be presented to the House for debate only with unanimous consent. Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

* * *

● (1412)

POST OFFICE

DELAYED DELIVERY OF MAIL IN TORONTO AREA—MOTION
UNDER S.O. 43

Mr. W. C. Scott (Victoria-Haliburton): Mr. Speaker, I rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43 to move a motion on a matter of urgent and pressing necessity, namely, the inability of the Post Office to deliver the mail. I refer specifically to the situation in the Toronto post office, where the backlog of mail and parcels is so great that the post office is storing large quantities of mail and packages in an armoury instead of processing and delivering them. I move, seconded by the hon. member for Norfolk-Haldimand (Mr. Knowles):

That this House direct the Postmaster General to acquire the necessary personnel and initiate action immediately to clear the backlog of mail in the Toronto post office and ensure a reasonable level of mail delivery in that area in the future.

Mr. Speaker: Presentation of such a motion for debate at this time requires the unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

[Mr. Speaker.]

HOUSE OF COMMONS

COLLECTIVE BARGAINING FOR EMPLOYEES—MOTION UNDER
S.O. 43

Mr. Stanley Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre): Mr. Speaker, as a matter of urgency, seconded by the hon. member for Sault Ste. Marie (Mr. Symes), I move:

That this House recommends the immediate introduction of legislation to provide for collective bargaining for employees of the House of Commons, the Library of Parliament and the Senate, as recommended by a special joint committee on the public service as long ago as February 3, 1967, it being the view of this House that this parliament having granted the right of collective bargaining to public servants and to employees in the private sector who come under federal labour jurisdiction, we should, by comparable legislation, grant this same right to our own employees.

Mr. Speaker: Presentation of such a motion would require the unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

* * *

URBAN AFFAIRS

SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT FOR OUTAOUAIS, QUEBEC,
REGION—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

Mr. Paul Yewchuk (Athabasca): Mr. Speaker, I rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43 on a matter of urgent and pressing necessity. In view of the fact that the Minister of State for Urban Affairs (Mr. Ouellet) has confirmed in a letter to me dated May 26, 1978, that the planned sewage treatment plant for the Outaouais region will not control phosphate or viral pollution of the effluent, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Simcoe North (Mr. Rynard):

That this serious matter be referred to the appropriate standing committee for further study so that experts can be heard and recommendations made.

Mr. Speaker: Presentation of such a motion for debate, pursuant to Standing Order 43, requires the unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

* * *

TRANSPORT

REPORT ON CN OPERATIONS IN NEWFOUNDLAND—REQUEST
FOR TABLING—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

Mr. James A. McGrath (St. John's East): Mr. Speaker, I rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43. In view of the importance to the province of Newfoundland of the findings of the royal commission inquiring into CN operations in that province and its impact on the future of transportation in