explain closure or use the word; it is just "guillotine" again. Then he expresses his own opinion and says:

Mr. Howe has said that it is of the utmost urgency to start this year. But what would happen if the line were delayed a couple of years? Would it be worth waiting to get a better deal on this vital national project? Then there is the fact that the export deal stands a good chance of being thrown out in Washington. What would happen if the government has to take over the line, serving the Canadian market only? It would—

Mr. Ellis: What is wrong with members of the press gallery expressing their opinion?

Mr. Stuart (Charlotte): This is supposed to be news reporting.

Mr. Reinke: Why should they be expressing their opinions on a news broadcast?

Mr. Ellis: This is news commentary.

Mr. Reinke: And then they go on to refer to the hon. member for Eglinton.

Some hon. Members: Sour grapes.

Mr. Knowles: Do you want a governmentcontrolled press?

Mr. Reinke: And so the report goes on. On May 30 we have Blakely again using the

word "guillotine". He says:

And so the pipe line battle is joined again, and the House resumes tomorrow under the shadow of the "guillotine".

On May 31, with Doug Leiterman reporting, it was said:

There was no doubt at all that the government's motion would win and the "guillotine" fall in the early hours of Friday morning.

Mr. Knowles: Did it not?

Mr. Ellis: That was a statement of fact.

An hon. Member: What about the songs you sang?

Mr. Ellis: They want a governmentcontrolled press.

The Chairman: Order. I am sorry to interrupt the hon. member, but I am obliged to advise him that his time has expired.

Mr. Reinke: I have a few more comments to make.

The Chairman: Has the hon. member unanimous consent to proceed?

Some hon. Members: No.

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

The Chairman: Agreed.

Mr. Knowles: Before the hon. member proceeds, since he has consent to go on for a few minutes I wonder if he will permit one question. When he says that the Minister

Supply-C.B.C.

of Trade and Commerce did not make a certain statement which was attributed to him over the C.B.C., is he not aware of the fact that on page 3864 of *Hansard* for May 14, 1956, the Minister of Trade and Commerce is reported as having said:

Short of public ownership there is no way of guaranteeing Canadian control of the shares.

Mr. Reinke: That is what he said. I believe I explained that when the hon. member was not here.

Mr. Knowles: I certainly was here.

An hon. Member: You are the one who was absent.

Mr. Ellis: He is absent intellectually, anyway.

Mr. Castleden: What kind of press do you want, a government-controlled press?

Mr. Reinke: At any rate the report here mentions the fact that the Conservatives wanted the pipe line to be under Canadian control. The pipe line itself is under Canadian control, as was explained by the Minister of Trade and Commerce, but the minister said he could not guarantee that the company would be under control by Canadians. That is where I say the truth of this thing was distorted. The people were led to believe that the pipe line itself was not under Canadian control.

Mr. Knowles: The fact is that the C.B.C. reported the Minister of Trade and Commerce correctly.

Mr. Reinke: He explained it and it appears on the same page of *Hansard*. Both statements appear on the same page.

Mr. Knowles: The hon. member read a part of that page of *Hansard*.

Mr. Reinke: Then we come to June 2 again, and the report says:

We have just seen an almost unprecedented spectacle of some two or three hundred people, who almost mobbed opposition leader Drew and C.C.F. leader Coldwell, as they left the building, and there were a good many boos for the Liberal cabinet ministers when they left.

Mr. Ellis: That was right.

Mr. Reinke: I happened to be out there that night when the Minister of Trade and Commerce emerged from the building. I will admit there were some boos from the crowd, but I would venture to suggest that people were planted there for that purpose. There were also some boos for other hon. members—

Mr. Ellis: Yes, other Liberals and Socreds.