

individual cases but we have been told that the policy had nothing to do with it. I would be delighted to check into it in the individual cases if he could give me the details.

Mr. GUNDLOCK: Oh, I would be glad to.

Mr. LIND: Mr. Chairman, first of all, the federal government spent a lot of money on this Crawford Report. I was wondering, Mr. Côté, if the new members have copies of that report so that we might study it and give us some light on the subject of these parks. I would like to know the difference in policy between the eastern parks and the western parks and what basically the problem is or the difference.

Mr. COTÉ: Well, Mr. Chairman, to answer this question, which is in two parts, I would say as regards the first part, the Crawford Report, we are pretty well out of Crawford Reports. We will see if we can get a reprint made within our resources and make this available to the members of the committee.

Secondly, as regards the difference in policy affecting the eastern and western parks, the policy generally is the same throughout all the parks. Naturally, the development of each park has got to take into account the soul, the spirit, of that particular park. So you do get some differences in local applications that way. But, the main difference between the eastern and western parks is that by and large the eastern parks, those from east of Manitoba, have not got townsites in them. You have not got that in the St. Lawrence Park, you have not got that in Georgian Bay and you have not got that generally in Fundy, but even there, there are exceptions to this rule that I have just enunciated. There is a service centre in Fundy and in Cape Breton right within the park. By and large, for example, in the Fundy park, we are relying on the services of the neighbouring community of Alma to provide the accommodation other than the limited accommodation for motels that exist in the park.

We believe that in the newer parks in the east it is preferable—and these are smaller parks than the western parks that we are speaking of, it is more feasible there than it is in Banff or Jasper—we believe that in the smaller parks it is better to have the accommodation facilities agglomerate around an organized municipality because these services then tend to contribute to the development of the municipalities.

At Louisburg, which is a national historic park, we decided that we would not create inside the park a community for those who serve the park, the government officials. We acquired land at Louisburg and built accommodations there. We will sell service lots to people who want those service lots that may be beyond our requirements. So we intend to support the infrastructure of the adjacent municipality rather than create a municipality in a park. This is the basic difference, I think, between the eastern and the western parks.

Mr. HORNER (*Jasper-Edson*): Mr. Chairman, I would like to ask Mr. Côté this question.

It has been my impression over the years, since I became a member of Parliament, that the department was working towards the end that they would own and run all the facilities within the national parks, and this new take over