

faces and calling names," was intended to apply to others, not to himself, else his spice and "raciness" would be gone.

How you must admire, too, the unctuous candor, without even a blush, with which he confesses to multiplying the truth by two, when he says "five or six territorial representatives" when it was *only three*. And this is a "kindly veil of ambiguity." How are finite mortals to know when what he says is a "kindly veil of ambiguity," and when straight truth? Do the "vital interests of the electorate" demand this? If so, perish the vital interests, and let us have a little straight honesty.

The doctor displays a very great deal of fatherly interest in me all through this introduction. The tenor of it is, that in my private, social, civic and professional relations, he has no reason to even suspect that I am not a truthful and honorable man. And he "gladly expresses his honest admiration of my many good qualities." But,—and what a but! You will not be guided by me, you will not move at my dictation, you will not vote as I indicate, and for your good, but so reluctantly, I am forced to apply the rod. How many boys can remember these fatherly talks! No doubt we should be extremely thankful to those who give us such paternal (?) care.

While still laboring at his introduction your versatile correspondent calls attention to the fact that some five years since a medical journal was subsidized and paid with Council money. He affects to believe that its especial function was to "roundly abuse and traduce any and every member of the College who had the temerity to claim that his professional soul was his own," and he discourses fluently on the iniquity of such a procedure. It would be useless to attempt to convince him his strictures were not correct. "There are none so blind as those who won't see," but for the benefit of those who wish to know the facts, and judge for themselves, we will give a synopsis of the argument. A resolution was carried in Council, which contained the points subsequently embodied in the agreement. It was "that in the event of the Ontario Medical Journal Publishing Company printing a stenographic report of the Council proceedings, the College Announcement, and all the College advertisements, and supplying a copy of the journal free to every registered practitioner, the Council will give the company a bonus of \$600. . . . Striking points are brought out in this resolution. Among them, First, it was no part of the contract to "abuse or traduce members of the College," or to *uphold the Council*. Second, a full stenographic report of Council proceedings was to be printed in the journal and a copy to go to every registered practitioner free of cost. This completely refutes