

the ten years that have now gone by, he has done an enormous amount of valuable work, as shown in his annual reports and evidence before the Standing Committee of the House of Commons on Agriculture, his voluminous correspondence with farmers and fruit-growers all over the Dominion, and his addresses to Farmers' Institutes and other gatherings. No one in this country has done so much as he to instruct the people in a practical knowledge of their worst insect foes and the best methods of dealing with them, while probably no one but he could have given the Province of Manitoba the information and the advice that he has repeatedly afforded by his lectures, addresses, and publications on the noxious weeds of that portion of the Dominion. All his friends will, we are sure, unite with us in the earnest wish that he may long be spared to carry on his admirable work, which is of such vast importance, not only to those directly interested in the products of the soil, but to all the dwellers throughout this wide Dominion.

A GENERIC REVISION OF THE LACHNEIDÆ (LASIOCAMPIDÆ).

BY HARRISON G. DYAR, WASHINGTON, D. C.

The genera of the same regions are included in the present paper as in a former one on Hypogymnidae (CAN. ENT., XXIX., 12). The palæarctic Lachneids of the old world have been admirably treated by Aurivillius (Iris, Dresden, vii., 121-185), and I am indebted to his work for valuable information, as well as to the works of Kirby and Hampson. In going over the literature I did not always confirm Kirby's types of the genera; but rather than disturb the matter again, I have accepted them as modified by Aurivillius; but with the restoration of Hübner's Tentamen names, I drop Gastropacha, as it is a synonym of Lasiocampa, being proposed in the same sense to include all the species of the family. Following Wallengren, I separate *catax* and *rimicola* from Eriogaster as defined by Aurivillius for convenience in the table, though I do not doubt that the venation is as variable as Aurivillius states (Iris, vii., 147). I cannot separate the new genus Paralebeda, Auriv., from Odonestis by anything that is stated.

The oldest plural term for the family is again Hübner's Lachneides, and must form the family name as shown by Grote.