July 15, 1872.]	
Best shot in No. 2 Company, Sorgeant	
Conley	29
Best shot in No. 4 Company, Sergeant	33
Somerville	19
Hume Best shot in No. 6 Company, Private Fo	40
10y	18
15th Battalion (Belloville). Pol	
Best shot in the regiment, Sergt, Hart,	
No. 2 Company	37
BullenBest_shot in No. 2 Company, Sergeant	18
Hart	37
Best shot in No. 4 Company, Private R. Lindsay	29
Lindsay. Best shot in No. 5 Company, Private Shaw	27
Shaw	36
16th Battalion (Prince Edward).	_
Poir	nls.
Best shot in the Battalion, Private J. Parliament	38
Best shot in No. 1 Company, Private J. Parliament	38
Parliament Best shot in No. 2 Company, Sergeant G. A. Whitten	29
Lest shot in No. 4 Company, Private A.	30
Best shot in No. 5 Company, Sergt. G.	36
Best shot in No. 6 Company, Privt St. C.	
Coppinger (Frontenac.)	26
Poir	ıts.
Best shot in the regiment, Col. Sergoant Bailie, No. 5 Co	50
Best shot in No. 1 Company, Sergeant	20
Abernathy Best shot in No. 2 Company, Sergeant J Johnson.	22
Best shot in No. 3 Company, Private W.	31
Best shot in No. 4 Company, Col. Sergt.	- 1
Kelly. Best allot in No. 5. Company, Col. Sergt.	16
John Bailie	50
McNeil Best shot in No. 7 Company Private P.	23
Burley	29
48TH BATTALION (Lennox and Addington) Poin	
Best shot in the regiment, Sergt. Loyst,	
No. 1 Co	45
Loyst Best shot in No. 2 Company, Sergeaut S.	45
Best shot in Nor 3 Company, Private P.	30
Best shot in No. 4 Company, Col. Sergt.	39
Glynn Best shot in No. 5 Company, Private L.	37
Gaylord	27

Gaylord.

Babcock.....

9 Company

do

do

ďΩ

do

Best shot in No. 6 Company, Private E.

Best shot in No. 1 Co., Privato J.Ryan.

40TH BATTALION (Cobourg)

Best shot in Battalion, Private Dawson, No.

No. 2 Co., Pt. J. Cook. No. 3 Co., Pt. W. Knox. No. 4 Co., Pt., S. Hutchinson.

No. 5 Co., Sergt, F. Yeoman.

_	THE	VOLUNTEER REVIEW.
9	do do	No. 6 Co., Pt. A. Macdonald. No. 7 Co., Sergt. Palmer.
3	do do	No. 8 Co., Pt. J. Magni. No. 9 Co., Pt. J. Danson.
9	n	45TH BATTALION.
)	Best shot	n Battallen, Sergeant Hobbs. in No. 1 Co., Sergeant Lamb,
3	do do	No. 2 Co., do Hobbs. No. 3 Co., Corporal Hopkins.
۱,	461	R BATTALION, (Port Hope).
		n Battalion, Sergt. Lawrie.
٠	Best shot	n No. 1 Co., Sergt, Lawrie.
,	do do	No. 2 Co., do S. Marshall. No. 3 Co., Pt. J. MoNeish. No. 4 Co., Pt. Goodfellow.
' I	do	No. 4 Co., Pt. Goodfellow.
3	do	No. 5 Co., Pt. R. Shackleton.
1	do	No. 6 Co., Sergt. J. Trew.
	49:	ru Battalion (Hastings).
d	Best shot	in Battalion, Sergeant Lewi
1	Marsh.	
۱		in No. 1 1C o., Sergeant Lewi
. 1	Marsh.	n No. 2 Co., Pt. W. Hilton.
۱'	do descanor i	No. 3 Co., Pt. A. McColl.
1	do	No. 4 Co., Pt. J. Laird.
1	do	No. 5 Co., Pt. W. Railey.
1	57 1 1	EATTALION (Peterboro,')
1	Best shot in	Battalion, Pt. K. H. Smith.
. 1	Best shot in	n No. 1 Co., Pt. H. McMann.
1	do	No. 2 Co., Pt. Crow.
1	go	
1	do	No. 4 Co., Pt. K. W. Smith.
1	do	No. 5 Co., Corporal Hamerson
1	do - Francous	No. 6 Co., Pt. Williams.
1	- xromour	own on respondent,
1		

FIELD ARTILLERY.

It is well known (says the Pull Mull Gaz ette) that the general opinion of our officers horse artillery has for a long time been on posed to the present weight of the gun and equipment of that arm, and there appear to be good grounds for their objections. The Times of Wednesday last, under the heading of " News from the Campat Delhi," tells us that four horses of one battery Lave actually died of fatigue after a day's march, this battery being one of the supplied with the new muzzle-loading 9 pounder gun of 8 cwt. No doubt English horses are stronger and better than those with which the artillery in India is supplied. The former are capable, when well fed and cared for, of drawing the weight of the present equipment over the good roads and easy country to be met within a European campaign. But it must not be European campaign. forgotten that the exigencies of the service may require a battery to make several forced marches in succession, while forage at the same time may be scarce or bad, and the teams reduced in number by essualties. Under these circumstances, any additional weight in the draught must tell heavily on the horses: and it is for this reason that we are inclined to agree with those who advocate a reduction in the weight of our artillery carriages, more particularly as we believe that the weight might be much lessened without materially decreasing the guns. The present 9 pounder horse artilfery gun weighs, as we have said 8 cwt. be ing as heavy as the 12 pounder breech load ing gun, with which a great many of our field betteries are still armed. This weight is without doubt excessive, and it is rumoured that a committee of antillery officers lately recommended its reduction to 6 cwt. that of the old breech leading 9 pounder. We beleive we are right in saying that a gun of this weight would be capable of firing with perfect safety the same ammunition as the present gun, the weight of the gun being unaltered. It might be necessary on account of excessive recell, to reduce the charge slightly, but the power of the pieceparticularly at long ranges, would not be greatly affected thereby. The artillery, both horse and field, with which the Germans did such goodfservice in the late war is far lighter than ours, though more ammunition is carried with each gun. In respect of weight our 9 pounder approaches more nearly to their heavy gun than to their horse artillery arm, the respective weights being nearly as follows:

Prussian 9 pr. gun and limber, about 30.5 cwt.
English do. do 35 "
Prussian I6 nounder do 35 5 "

Prussian 16-pounder do 35.5 "
The differences in the two systems is that our authorities chooses a heavy gun firing a large charge and comparatively light projectile, while the Prussians adopt the reverse a light gun firing a small charge and a comparatively heavy projectile. In our opinion, each side has allowed itself to be carried to extremes; we are inclined to sacrifice mobility in order to obtain a high velocity, while the other sacrifices gun power to lightness of equipment.

(To the Editor of the Daily News.)

Dear Sir, -So much has been said concerning the strictures contained in the Globs despatch of the 29th ulto,, regarding the at rearance of the 14th Battalion, that I feel it my duty to say a few words on the subject.

Those most concerned in the matter are aware that the despatch in question was not written by me personally, as I was unable to be present at the review, and that I was not aware of its contents until I saw it published.

From what I have been told I have no hesitation in saying that I do not concur with the writer of the despatch, and had I seen it before it was telegraphed, I would not have allowed it to be sent; and I regret that the feelings of the officers and men of the 14th were wounded by its publication Yours, &c.,

THE "GLOBE" CORRESPONDENT. Kingston, July 5

We regret to have to announce to our readers the death of Mr. Ruggles Wright, son of the late Lieutenant. Col. Ruggles Wright, and grandson of Philomen Wright, the pioneer settler of Ottawa. He was a man who was very much respected throughout this community, where he has lived all his lifetime—fifty four years, and he will be very much missed by his old friends and acquain tances.—Ottawa Citizen.

BREAKFAST.-EPPS'S COCOA.-GRATEFUL AND COMFORTING.—The very agreeable character of this proparation has rendered it a general favorite. The Civil Service Gazette remarks:-"The singular success which Mr. Epps attained by his homopathic preparation of cocca has never been surpassed by any experimentalist. By a thorough knowledge of the natural laws which govern the operations of digestion and nutrition, and by a careful application of the fine properties of well selected cocoa, Mr. Epps has provided our breakfast tables with a delicately favourod boverage which may save us many heavy doctors' bills." Made simply with bolling water or milk. Sold by the Trade only in lib., lib., and 11b. tin-lined packets, labelled-JAMES Errs & Co., Homosopathio Chemists, London, England