WAR. See, also, RED CROSS SOCIETY WARD, Sir Joseph George: Prime Minister of New Zeeland. See (in this vol.) New

ter of New Zealand. See (in this vol.) New Zealand. A. D. 1906-1909.

At the Imperial Conference of 1907. See British Empire: A. D. 1907.

Testimony on the Working of Woman Suffrage in New Zealand. See Elective Franchise: Woman Suffrage.

WARSAW, Disturbances in. See (in this vol.) Russia: A. D. 1904-1905, and 1905 (Feb.-Nov.)

Nov.)

WASHBURN, Rev. Dr. George: President of Robert Coilege. See (in this vol.) ED-CCATION: TURKEY, &c. WASHINGTON, Booker T.: His work at

Tuskegee Institute. See (in this vol.) EDU-CATION: UNITED STATES: A. D. 1906.

WASHINGTON: A. D. 1908. — Meeting of International Congress on Tuberculosis. See (in this vol.) Public Health. Tubercu-

WASHINGTON MEMORIAL INSTI-TUTION, The. See (in this vol.) EDUCA-

TION: UNITED STATES: A. D. 1901.
WATER POWER TRUST: Threatened In the United States. — Precautionary Measures taken, See (in this vol.) Combinations, Industrial, &c.: United States: A. D.

WATERS AND WATER POWER, Conservation of. See (in this vol.) Conserva-

WATERS-PIERCE OIL COMPANY. WATERS-PIERCE OIL COMPANY.
See (In this vol.) COMBINATIONS, INDUSTRIAL,
dc.: UNITED STATES: A. D. 1904-1909.
WATERWAYS COMMISSION AND
WATERWAYS TREATY. See (in this
vol.) CANADA: A. D. 1909 (JAN.).
WATKINS, Thomas H.: On the Anthracite Coal Strike Arbitration Company.

cite Coal Strike Arbitration Commission. See (In this vol.) LABOR ORGANIZATION: UNITED

See (In this vol.) LABOR ORGANIZATION: UNITED STATES: A. D. 1902-1903.

WATSON, J. C.: Premier of Auatralia. See (in this vol.) AUSTRALIA: A. D. 1903-1904.

WATSON, Thomas E.: Nomination for President of the U. S. See (in this vol.) UNITED STATES. A. D. 1904 (MARCH-NOV.), and 1908 (MARCH-NOV.).

WAZEER, Grand. See (in this vol.) Morocco. A. D. 1903

ROCCO: A. D. 1908.
WEALTH: Ita Concentration in Great Britain. - in a speech made in Parllament, on a motion to graduate the income Tax, March 21. 1909, Mr. Chiozzu Money, who speaks with considerable authority on such subjects, made the following statements: "Statistics were available in Somerset House showing the product of the graduated scale of death duties imposed by Sir William Harcourt in 1894. Of the 700,000 persons who died annually, only about 80,000 left sufficient property to need an inquisition by Somerset House. Out of the 80,000 persons nearly the whole of the property was left by 27,000 persons; and £200,000,000 worth of property was left hy about 4,000 persons each year. This was not only a curious fact, but it was a constant fact in relation to this problem. Ite also showed that there had arisen a tendency among rich persons to devise part of their property be fore death in order to escape the death duties, with the result that a good deal of wealth did not come under the review of Somerset House.

What he described as his own conservative esti-mate of the wealth of the United Kingdom was a total of about £11,500,000,000. Of that sum five millions of persons owned £10,900,000,000, One-ninth of the population owned 95 per cent. of the entire capital stock of the United King. dom. Thus the whole of the country regarded as a business undertaking was in the hands of a handful of people. Taking the income of the country at 1.800 millions a year, there were about five million persons who took one-half and 89 millions the other half. Of the five mil-iion persons who took 900 millions of income about 11 million persons, or 250,000 families, took 600 millions out of the 900 millions. From this state of facts the most terrible inequalities this state of racts the most terrine inequalities resulted, evidences of which could be seen along the Emisankment and other parts of Westminster almost within a stone's throw of that flouse.

WEALTH PROBLEM, The. — The Question of a Progressive Taxation.—"At this moment we are passing through a period of great unrest — social, political and industrial unrest. It is of the utmost importance for our future that this should prove to be not the unrest of mere rebelliousness against life, of mere dissatisfaction with the inevitable inequality of conditions, but the unrest of a resolute and eager amhltion to secure the betterment of the individual and the nation. . . It is a prime necessity that if the present unrest is to result in permanent good the emotion shall be translated into action, and that the action shall be marked hy honesty, sanity, and self-restraint. There is mighty little good in a mere spasm of reform. The reform that counts is that which comes

through steady, continuous growth; violent emotionalism leads to exhaustion,

. "it is important to this people to grapple with the problems connected with the amassing of enormous fortunes, and the use of those for tunes, both corporate and individual, in business. We should discriminate in the sharpest way between fortunes well won and fortunes ill way between fortunes well won and fortunes in performing great services to the community as a whoic, and those gained in evil fashion by keeping just within the limits of mere law-hoaesty. Of course no amount of charity in spending such fortunes in any way compensates for misconduct in making them. As a matter of personal conviction, and without pretending to discuss the details or formulate the system. i feel that we shall ultimately have to consider the adoption of some such scheme as that of a progressive tax on all fortunes, beyond a certain amount, either given in life or devised or bequeathed upon death to any individued—a tax so framed as to put it out of the power of the owner of one of these enormous fortunes to hand on more than a certain amount to any one individual; the tax, of course, to be imposed by the National and not the State Government Such taxation should, of course, be aimed merely at the inheritance or transmission in their entirety of those fortunes swollen beyond all healthy limits."—President Roosevelt, Ad-dress at the Laying of the Corner Stone of the dress at the Laying of the Corner Stone of the Office-Building of the House of Representation, April 14, 1906.

WEAVER, John: Mayor of Philadelphia.
See (in this vol.) MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT.