ill his Originals, Piece too lina y from a Want of uper Abundance rupted Speeches, r tire an Audihat Power which flances would over their Minds, ole there is some it will not be to confess that many Pieces fince which have not g of Approbation, ith good Success.

FATHER. Farce, his Piece was neeferved to be so; printed in Dubit, led Anonym. has liged by one Mr. nerant Actor, as

s in the Grecian

ENS. Vid. Con-

JOAN, or the . A Comic Mask, 1739 .- This very faid in the Title been acted at the in Covent Garden, ngth of it, which about eight Pages ted very loosely, it fufficient for the of a whole Evenfore I imagine it ave been a Sort of een the Acts made ce a Ballet, or else the Space of Time while the Perforsting and otherwise the Representation

The Scene lies in lage, and the Music by Mr. Lampe.

ROMAN

ROMAN MATRON. Vid. Co

ROYAL SHEPHEADESS. Tr.-Com. by Tho. Skadwell, 410. 1669.—This Play is not Skadwell's own, being, as he himself acknowledges in his Epistle to the Reader, taken from a Comedy written by M. Fontaine, called the Rewards of Virtue.—It met however with considerable Applause,—The Scene lies in Aracidia.

ROYALTY IN DISCUISE.

S.

CAINT PATRICK FOR PRE-LAND. Historical Play, by James Shirley, 4to. 1640.—This Play is mentioned as Shirley's by all the Writers, and they all speak of it as a First Part, which it is also called in the Title Page, and the Promise of a second Part given in the Prologue.—Yet none of them pretend to know whether fuch second Part was ever executed or not, excepting Gildon, who politively afferts that fuch fecond Part was defigned by the Author for the Press, but never published .- For the Plot of the Play, See Bede's Life of St. Patrick, and others of the Romifb Legends.-The Play is now in Print, and common to be met with in Ireland, it having been republished there, as were also Blurt Mr. Constable, the Lovefick King, the Widow, and some other old Plays, five or fix Years ago, by Mr. Chetavooit.

The SALOPIAN SQUIRE, or the Joyous Miller. A Dramatic Tale, by E. Dower, Svo. 1739.

The Author of this Piece has

annexed to it the Reasons for it's not making it's Appearance on the Stage, which, with the true Virulence of a disappointed Poet, he attributes to Party, Bigottry, and Malevolence in the Manager who refused it.—In Vindication however of the Gentleman so accused, it will be needful only to peruse the Piece itself, to find much more substantial Reasons for that Rejection than those which it's Author has affign'd.

The SCHOOL FOR LOVERS. Com. by William Whitehead, 8vo. 1762. This is the last dramatic Work of our present Laureat, and his first Attempt in the Walks of Comedy. - In an Advertisement prefixed to it, he acknowledges it to have received it's first Foundation in a dramatic Piece written, but not intended for the Stage, by M. de Fontenelle, to whose Memory he dedicates this Piece, subscribing himself a Lover of Simplicity .- What Species of Drama however it ought to be classed in, is somewhat difficult to determine, fince, tho' it is stiled a Comedy, the visible Faculties have much less Opportunity of Exertion than the tender Feelings of the Heart, and the Catastrophe, tho' happy in the main, and fuitable to poetical Justice, is not compleatly fo, fince two amiable Characters are ieft, the one entirely unprovided for, and the other in a Situation far from agreeable, viz. that of only being Witness to a Degree of Happiness in the Possession, which, with Respect to herself, the must imagine out of Reach, or at least deferred for a considerable Period of Time. - Those who are acquainted with the Play will readily conceive that the Characters I mean are Bellmour and Araminta; and as to Modely, E e 2