

Grit and Conservative, is simply contemptible. But it is so the country through—party not principle. Whether the country gains or loses by it morally and religiously is not the question with the great mass of electors and their representatives, including many Orangemen—our party must win, even though heaven were lost by it and hell won!

But will you always, ye Protestant parliamentary representatives of such principles, will you always allow Romanists to come off victorious in their every contest with Protestants and Protestantism? To please the hierarchy, no doubt, and to get their vote, some years ago you refused to incorporate Orangeism—a Protestant protective association! A few years later, to please the hierarchy, and with an eye also to the "vote," you actually consented to the incorporation and endowment of the Jesuits—the most virulently anti-Christian and anti-Protestant body in existence! And now, for the very same purpose, viz., to placate the Romanists, get into power and keep there, through the influence of the Roman vote, you would force upon an unwilling Legislature and people anti-Protestant and anti-Christian Separate Schools!

But did you ever, fellow travellers to eternity, as you all are, consider that by granting these Separate Schools, and by multiplying the facilities for the carrying out of the same, you are to the same extent responsible for the inculcation of Rome's soul-damning religion, and are therefore by those acts guilty of murderously trafficking in the blood of souls? and this also mainly, if not exclusively, for the sake of worldly gain! And will you think your present reward for thus deliberately doing what you can towards the training and handing over of souls to the devil, a full and satisfactory compensation when God shall judge every man according as his works shall be? You know you will not. You will do well, therefore, to consider the consequences, near and remote, of your political acts, for the whole of which you are morally and religiously responsible, and will be held personally accountable for the direct issues thereof at the judgment. You who are in this anti-Christian combination are now receiving the "wages of unrighteousness" therefor, and, unrepented of, you will assuredly receive the same with large interest, but of an undesirable kind, when the second and final reaping time comes.

As to the comparative merits, from a Protestant standpoint, of Tupper and Laurier as leaders, I do not know that, practically, there is much difference between a Protestant Catholic and a Catholic Protestant, as they may each be described to be from what they say or from what is said of them. When a man at the close of an interview with a Roman Archbishop asks him for his "blessing," as the papers tell us Tupper did, he must be, I should say, already about two thirds into the pit! Laurier, under the same circumstances, could do no more than ask for the prelate's "blessing" upon his undertakings, and Tupper, it seems, did no less—the only difference between them being, that the one would perhaps ask it sincerely, and the other hypocritically or banteringly.

But to refer again to the alleged "grievance" of the minority in Manitoba, I would say, the idea of the Catholic's "conscience" not allowing him to attend a nonsectarian public school is simply