

	Revenue	Expenditure (\$ Millions)	Surplus or Deficit (-)
1929	418	-362	56
1930	293	-389	-96
1931	249	-409	-160
1932	233	-387	-154
1933	266	-380	-114
1934	316	-409	-93
1935	332	-453	-121
1936	422	-459	-37
1937	485	-476	9
1938	437	-524	-87
1939	481	-483	-2
1940	884	-1,024	-140
1941	1,523	-1,550	-27
1942	2,042	-3,765	-1,723
1943	2,469	-4,412	-1,943
1944	2,611	-5,320	-2,709
1945	2,466	-4,298	-1,832
1946	2,632	-2,877	-245
1947	2,776	-2,089	687
1948	2,717	-1,952	765
1949	2,699	-2,215	484
1950-51	3,340	-2,470	870
1951-52	4,237	-3,715	522
1952-53	4,790	-4,575	215
1953-54	4,753	-4,599	154
1954-55	4,643	-4,735	-92
1955-56	5,164	-4,794	370
1956-57	5,849	-5,196	653
1957-58	5,504	-5,606	-102
1958-59	5,486	-6,263	-777
1959-60	6,307	-6,560	-253
1960-61	6,500	-6,815	-315
1961-62	6,858	-7,355	-497
1962-63	7,062	-7,466	-404
1963-64	7,541	-7,728	-187
1964-65	8,549	-8,153	396
1965-66	9,312	-8,719	593
1966-67	10,260	-10,024	236
1967-68	11,189	-11,336	-147
1968-69	12,696	-12,621	75
1969-70	14,815	-13,837	978
1970-71	15,734	-15,823	-89
1971-72	17,820	-18,075	-255
1972-73	20,614	-20,812	-198
1973-74	23,987	-23,801	186
1974-75	30,763	-30,810	-47
1975-76	32,642	-36,560	-3,918
1976-77	36,095	-40,323	-4,228
1977-78	36,148	-45,541	-9,393
1978-79	39,744	-49,369	-9,625
1979-80	45,157	-54,472	-9,315
1980-81	52,847	-62,711	-9,864

Note: Figures for 1926 to 1949 relate to calendar years while figures from 1950-51 on are on a fiscal year basis. As an indication of comparability, the 1950 revenue, expenditure and surplus were \$3,020 million, \$2,370 million and \$650 million respectively compared to corresponding 1950-51 figures of \$3,340 million, \$2,470 million and \$870 million.

MR. ED WEBSTER

Question No. 3,029—Mr. Cossitt:

1. Is the government aware that Mr. Ed Webster of the Department of Industry, Trade and Commerce in Toronto was telephoned on August 20, 1981 by a member of the staff of the office of the Hon. member for Leeds-Grenville as a result of an inquiry from a constituent and, with reference to the constituent, did Mr. Webster state "Mr. . . . can drop dead" and, if so (a) is it government policy to permit public servants to refer to citizens in such terms (b) is it

Order Paper Questions

government policy to permit public servants to make such remarks to members of Parliament or members of their staff when they are requesting information?

2. Did Mr. Webster also state that he resented "political pressure" being placed upon him and is it government policy to consider an inquiry to a government department by a constituent as something a member of Parliament should not follow up on to obtain legitimate information and does the government construe this as "political pressure"?

3. Did Mr. Webster receive a telephone call following the incident on the same day from the Hon. member for Leeds-Grenville and, in the course of this conversation, did he (a) admit that he said the constituent could drop dead (b) say that he resented the constituent contacting the member's office on the grounds that he had no business to do so because the Department of Industry, Trade and Commerce had been working on the matter for some time (c) allege that the "political pressure" was being sought by the constituent and did he condemn the constituent for calling his member of Parliament?

4. (a) Is the government aware that the member for Leeds-Grenville pointed out to Mr. Webster that every Canadian had the right to call his member of Parliament at any time (b) was it also pointed out to Mr. Webster that he was a public servant who should serve the public rather than make abusive statements about them?

5. (a) What is a complete record of Mr. Webster's history in the Public Service (b) has Mr. Webster ever been given a course in public relations and (i) if so, on what date (ii) if not, will the government provide such a course for Mr. Webster to prevent further incidents of this kind?

Mr. David Smith (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): If the hon. member has a complaint about a public servant he would be better advised to communicate directly with the minister concerned rather than attack publicly an individual who has no equivalent vehicle by which he may defend himself. For this reason the government will not answer this question or any question similar to it.

COST OF CABINET MEETING

Question No. 3,227—Mr. Cossitt:

1. What was the total cost of the cabinet meeting held at Mount Orford, Quebec in the fall of 1981, including transportation to and from, accommodation, food, beverages and the cost for the staff of cabinet ministers and of the Prime Minister and any others in attendance?

2. For what reason was the meeting not held in Ottawa and would it not have been at a considerably less cost to the taxpayer?

Mr. David Smith (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): 1. There has not been a cabinet meeting held at Mount Orford, Quebec, in the fall of 1981.

2. Not applicable.

[English]

Madam Speaker: The questions enumerated by the Parliamentary Secretary have been answered.

* * *

QUESTIONS PASSED AS ORDERS FOR RETURNS

Mr. David Smith (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Madam Speaker, if questions Nos. 1,667 and 2,692 could be made orders for returns, these returns would be tabled immediately.