

representatives, manifested the confidence they placed in Mr. Stuart, by desiring to depute him as their agent of the province in England, Mr. Richardson, in conjunction with the chief justice, defeated the measure, principally on account of their personal hostility to Mr. S. What ought we to think now, however, of the man who thus makes common cause with his greatest enemies, who hate him, as much as he, in his soul, despises them. One of the papers lately justly observed, that if the impeachment of the two chief justices has failed, it may in a great measure be ascribed to him, for instead of repairing to the assembly in time, he let the February term go by first, so that it was evident his private interest weighed more with him than the public good; and indeed the whole of his conduct on that occasion seemed to indicate that he was actuated alone in the part he took, by personal hatred towards chief justice Sewell. He does not now scruple to say, that a union will be the surest means of crushing the chief justice; and should he be sent to England, by the Unionist party, I am convinced that he will have that more at heart, than any thing else. Between you and I, however, there seems good reason to believe, that the accusations against the judges, were well founded, and that at all events they ought to have been brought to condign punishment, for their acts during the proconsulate of Craig. But again, how can Mr. Stuart reconcile it to himself, to act with Mr. Gerrard? two men who mutually detest each other. Do we not all recollect the part Mr. G. took some years ago, when he was foreman of the grand jury, who made a presentment against Mr. S. for contempt of court, and that it required all Mr. S.'s talents and address to save himself from being committed to gaol, on the occasion; whilst on the other hand, S. was the prosecutor of G. for perjury, a true bill for which was found by the grand jury, before whom it was laid—this you no doubt know was in the case of Forbes's succession, and has been hushed up, God knows how.

Compare Mr. James Stuart's present conduct, with the behaviour of his brother in this city, who is a man of principle, of liberality, and of information; who too well perceives the machinations that are put in practice by the faction to which his brother has united himself, and who, detesting the measures of the Executive, is a tower of strength to the Canadian and constitutional party. I could contrast their private characters too, but I refrain; and remain, Sir,

Your obed^t. serv^t.

CONSISTENCY.

CONSISTENCY may rely upon the utmost discretion as regards both his present and future communications; Such matters as I have not yet noticed, are taken *ad notam*, probably for some future opportunity.