WESTERN CIRCUIT.

The Hon. Mr. Justice John Wilson.

Sarnia	Tuesday	Mar. 16.
Goderich	Tuesday	Mar. 23.
London	Tuesday	Mar. 30.
St. Thomas	Thursday	April 8.
Chatham	Tuesday	April 13.
Sandwich	Tuesday	April 20.
Walkerton	Tuesday	May 11.

HOME CIRCUIT.

The Hon Mr. Justice Gwynne.

Brampton	Tuesday	Mar. 16.
City of Toronto	Monday	April 5.

APPOINTMENTS TO OFFICE.

NOTARIES PUBLIC.

WALTER HOYTFUTTEN, of the Town of Guelph, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. (Gazetted July 25, 1868.)

MORGAN CALDWELL, of Walkerton, Esquire, Barrister-at-law. (Gazetted September 12, 1868.)

JAMES DAVID EDGAR, of Osgoode Hall, Barrister-at-Law. (Gazetted September 19, 1868.)

EDWARD H. TIFFANY, of the City of Hamilton, Gentleman, Attorney-at-Law. (Gazetted September 26, 1868.)

EBENEZER W. SCANE, of the Town of Chatham, Gentleman, Attorney-at-Law. (Gazetted Oct. 17, 1868.)

WILLIAM WELLAND BERFORD, of the Town of Perth, Gentleman, Attorney-at-Law. (Gazetted October 24, 1868.)

JOHN MORISON GIBSON, of the City of Hamilton, Esquire, Barrister-at-Law. (Gazetted October 31, 1868.)

JOHN MUDIE, of City of Kingston, Esquire, Barrister-at-law. (Gazetted November 7, 1868.)

GEORGE PETER LAND, of the City of London, Esq., Barrister-at-Law. (Gazetted November 14, 1868.)

WILLIAM BARCLAY McMURRICH, of the City of Toronto, Esquire, Barrister-at-Law; JOHN McLEAN, of the Town of St. Thomas, Esquire, Barrister-at-Law; and ROBERT GRAHAM, of the Village of Enterprise, Gentleman. (Gazetted November 21, 1868.)

DALTON McCARTHY, Jun., of the Town of Barrie, Esquire, Barrister-at-Law; ROBERT CASSELS, Jun., of the City of Toronto, Barrister-at-Law; FREDERICK BISCOE, of the Town of Gulph, Esquire, Barrister-at-Law; ROBERT R. WADDELL, of the City of Hamilton, Gentleman, Attorney-at-Law, and ROBERT HICK, Jun., of the City of Ottawa, Gentleman, Attorney-at-Law. (Gazetted November 28, 1868.)

JAMES EDWIN O'REILLY, of the City of Hamilton, Gentleman, Attorney-at-Law. (Gazetted Dec. 12, 1868.)

JOSEPH JAMIESON, of the Village of Almonte, Gentleman, Attorney-at-Law. (Gazetted December 19, 1868.)

CHARLES ROBERT HORNE, of Windsor, Esquire, Barrister-at-Law. (Gazetted January 9, 1869.)

JOHN PAUL CLARK, of Brampton, Gentleman, Attorney-at-Law. (Gazetted January 23, 1869.)

ASSOCIATE CORONERS.

JOHN PHILLIP JACKSON, Esquire, M.D., for the County of Perth. (Gazetted August 1, 1868.)

JAMES McLAREN WALLACE, of the Village of Spenceville, Esquire, M.D., for the United Counties Leeds and Grenville. (Gazetted August 22, 1868.)

JAMES PATRICK FOLEY, Esquire, M.D., for the County of Ontario. (Gazetted September 5, 1868.)

JAMES WATERFORD STUART, of Port Dover, and WILLIAM HENY MILLER, of Vittoria, Esquires, M.D., for the County of Norfolk, and JONATHAN McCULLY, of the Township of Howard, M.D., for the County of Kent. (Gazetted September 19, 1868.)

CHARLES DOUGLASS, of the Town of Streetsville, Esquire, M.D., for the County of Peel. (Gazetted October 24, 1868.)

WILLIAM K. KERR and THOMAS WEBSTER, of the Town of Brantford, Esquires, for the County of Brant. (Gazetted October 31, 1868.)

JAMES McBRIDE WOODS, of the Village of Streetsville, Esquire, M.D., for the County of Peel. (Gazetted December 5, 1868.)

JOHN COVENTRY, of the Village of Wardsville, and DANIEL CLINE, of Belmont, Esquires, M.D., for the County of Elgin. (Gazetted December 19, 1868.)

WILLIAM F. ROOME, of the Village of Newbury, and JOSEPH MOTHERSILL, of the Village of Strathroy, Esquires, M.D., for the County of Middlesex. (Gazetted December 19, 1868.)

JOHN MUIR, of the Township of Wolford, Esquire, M.D., for the United Counties of Leeds and Grenville. (Gazetted December 19, 1868.)

JOHN F. HICKS, of the Village of Duart, Esquire, M.D., for the County of Kent. (Gazetted Dec. 19, 1868.)

WILLIAM CHARLES HAGERMAN, of Lyndock, Esq., M.D., for the County of Norfolk. (Gazetted Jan. 9, 1869.)

JOHN O'SULLIVAN and ROBERT KINCAID, of the Town of Peterborough, Esquires, M.D., for the County of Peterborough. (Gazetted January 16, 1869.)

ROBERT J. SLOAN, of Wingham, Esquire, M.D., for the County of Huron. (Gazetted January 16, 1869.)

It is somewhat strange that a superstition should still linger in the commercial world that the words "value received" are essential to the validity, or at least increase the security of a bill of exchange or promissory note. Some commercial men are under the mistaken impression that without these words appearing on the face of a bill or note, it is invalid; but the majority entertain the equally erroneous idea that these words estop a party sued upon a bill or note from denying his liability. The fact is simply this, that the words are either mere surplusage or worse than surplusage. A bill or note always imports a consideration, and the party suing is not obliged to prove the consideration; but the party sued is not estopped from showing that he received no consideration. This is equally true whether the words "value received" appear upon the face of the document or not.—*Solicitors' Journal.*

"IT'S ALL A MISTAKE."—An incident almost unprecedented in the annals of courts of justice occurred at the Surry Sessions on Thursday. A man named William King was put on trial, charged with stealing a bag and the sum of £3 6*s.* 6*d.* The man had been admitted to bail. In the course of the morning Mr. Cartridge, the officer of the court, directed him to be called upon to surrender. No response being made to the summons, Mr Cartridge, in a somewhat sharp voice, called out in the court, "Is William King here?" Thereupon a respectably-dressed man in the body of the court responded, "Hear I am." Mr. Cartridge: "Go into the dock." The gaoler placed the man in the dock. Mr. Marshall (the clerk of the peace) then said: "Prisoner at the bar, you are charged that you, on the — day of October, did wilfully and feloniously steal from the person of John Barrow—" Prisoner (who was trembling, apparently with fear,) here said *sotto voce* to the gaoler: "Please, sir, it's all a mistake." The gaoler: "Oh, there's no mistake; you listen to the indictment." The clerk of the peace having read the indictment, asked in the usual form: "Prisoner, how say you—are you guilty or not guilty?" Prisoner: "If you please, my lord, there is some mistake." The clerk of the peace: "We shall see that presently. Are you guilty or not guilty?" Prisoner: "If you please, my lord, I am a juryman." This announcement was received with a roar of laughter from the crowded court, during which the unhappy juryman was liberated from his unpleasant and somewhat dangerous position.—*The Law Times.*