

sibility they should undertake the evangelization of not less than 40,000,000 in non-Christian lands. By evangelization we mean, to make intelligible the Gospel of our Lord to those who know Him not, and this we should do in this generation. To do this work we would require one missionary leader for every 25,000 people; this means 1,600 missionaries. We now have over 400. We should increase our force by about 1,200. That we are well able to supply this force no one will seriously question. If we allow \$2,000 annually for each missionary leader, his staff of native assistants and a share of the cost of the plant and equipment required, we require a total annual income of not less than \$3,200,000. Our contributions to foreign missions for 1907-8 amounted to only about \$700,000. We must therefore increase these contributions by \$2,500,000. To efficiently do our home mission work, we should increase our annual contributions from about \$1,000,000, the present income, to not less than \$1,300,000; making the total annual missionary income required \$4,500,000.*

We have about 900,000 communicant Church members in all our Churches other than the Roman and Greek Catholic. This only

*Since this estimate was made a question has been raised as to the relative amounts to be devoted to Home and Foreign Missions. It should be said by way of explanation that there is no necessary connection or relation between the amounts named for Home and Foreign Missions. The object in naming these amounts was to suggest an approximate estimate of the total amount necessary to carry on the work both at home and abroad. It also must be borne in mind that our Western country is daily becoming better settled, and the condition of the settlers is rapidly improving. The circuits and charges that are home missions to-day will be off the fund in one, two or three years, because in that short period of time they become self-supporting, and the missionary moneys now being expended on these charges will be available for the support of new home missions, and this process is continuous. In Foreign Mission work the conditions are entirely different, and we cannot expect self-support for years to come. There is this further consideration: many careful observers believe the authorities of the different churches should get together in carrying on the work in Canada, the same as they do in carrying on Foreign Mission work abroad, and co-operate so as to prevent unnecessary overlapping and duplication of work. The result would be that the work could be more efficiently done, and men and money would be released for work elsewhere. But if the amount estimated for Home Mission work is inadequate, it only means that the total amount to be raised must be increased proportionately, and we must work all the harder to bring our income up to a point where we can do our duty both at home and abroad.

The order in which the work is done either at home or abroad, and the amounts which in the meantime should be expended on Home and Foreign Mission work, respectively, is, of course, determined by the Mission Boards of each church charged with the administration of the funds, and is in no way affected by these estimates.