have been in contravention of the United Nations Charter, because, in effect, it required the admission of a new member of the United Nations without complying with the Charter provisions, one of which is the prior agreement of the Security Council. Thus, while Canada welcomed the United States statement that it was in favour of the seating of the People's Republic of China, it considered that the resolution proposed would, if adopted, make this impossible and might well result in no Chinese representation at all.

Speaking in the debate on the three main resolutions on Ocotber 18, the Canadian Ambassador outlined Canada's position. Mr. Beaulne said that Canada would again support the seating of the People's Republic of China in the United Nations, that this should be the clear purpose of the United Nations and that Canada would oppose any procedural or substantive proposal which would tend to defeat this clear purpose.

While there were a number of resolutions and procedural motions put to the General Assembly when the issue came to a vote on October 25, three were of major importance. The first was a request for priority (that is, to vote first) for the draft resolution, sponsored by the United States and others, stating that any proposal to deprive the "Republic of China" of representation in the United Nations was an important question. The Assembly elected to vote on the question first.

The next vote was perhaps the most crucial. The General Assembly rejected by a vote of 55 in favour to 59 against (including Canada), with 15 abstentions, the proposal that the question was an "important" one and that under Article 18 (3) of the Charter a two-thirds majority was required. This meant, of course, that the Albanian Resolution could be approved by a simple majority.

Since it was quite clear that this resolution would obtain a simple majority, the representative of the "Republic of

China" made his final statement befor the General Assembly and withdrew fro $A$ the hall. When the Albanian Resolctio was put to a vote, it received 76 in favo (including Canada) to 35 against, with 1 n abstentions. In fact, therefore, it receive more than a two-thirds majority of thos voting in the affirmative or negative.

It is possible, however, that, if th resolution declaring the matter to be a B
"important" question had been adopte By the Albanian Resolution might not has received a two-thirds majority since it believed that a few countries which migt otherwise have abstained decided to vor"Chin in favour of the Albanian Resolution whentrigu it was quite apparent that it would boccup approved. It was also obvious that marpolicy countries which had not recognized thof po People's Republic of China voted in favoquate of Peking occupying China's seat in thing. United Nations.

The representatives of the Peopleto rec Republic of China, after 23 years of beinhas be in effective control of the Chinese mair land, now speak for China at the Unitethe Nations. Already there have been dWith cisions by organizations related to thtion United Nations such as UNESCO, thautum ILO and ICAO that the Chinese ssawatch there should be occupied by the represenbelled atives of the People's Republic of Clinforeig rather than by representatives from Tathat wan. The presence of the People's Rep uling it lic of China in the United Nations anbadly its related organizations can rnilthe su strengthen the world organization's of pacity to deal realistically with the issustock of international peace and security, $\mathrm{an}_{\text {watch }}$ thus become an effective centre for ha world monizing the actions of nations, to encwintern the greatest possible degree of inte $n_{\text {road }}$ tional co-operation in solving the wor d Repul political, economic, social, cultural unbump humanitarian problems. Miss Macpherson is Director of the than United Nations Political and Institution nadia Affairs Division, Department of find External Affairs.
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