

To these Bills the Royal Assent was pronounced by the Clerk of the Senate in the following words:—

“In Her Majesty’s name, His Honour the Deputy of His Excellency the Governor General doth assent to these Bills.”

Then the Honourable the Speaker of the House of Commons addressed His Honour the Deputy Governor as follows:—

“MAY IT PLEASE YOUR HONOUR:—

“The Commons of Canada have voted the Supplies required to enable the Government to defray the expenses of the Public Service.

“In the name of the Commons, I present to Your Honour the following Bill:—

‘An Act for granting to Her Majesty certain sums of money required for defraying certain expenses of the Public Service, for the financial year ending the 30th June, 1892, and for other purposes relating to the Public Service.’

To this Bill the Clerk of this House, by His Honour’s command, did thereupon say:—

“In Her Majesty’s name, His Honour, the Deputy of His Excellency the Governor General thanks Her Loyal Subjects, accepts their benevolence, and assents to this Bill.”

The Deputy Governor was pleased to retire, and
The House of Commons withdrew.

The Honourable Mr. Gowan, from the Select Committee on Divorce, presented their Twelfth Report.

Ordered, That it be received, and

The same was then read by the Clerk, and it is as follows:—

THE SENATE,
COMMITTEE ROOM NO. 17,
TUESDAY, 12th April, 1892.

The Select Committee on Divorce beg leave to make their Twelfth Report, as follows:—

1. In obedience to the Order of Your Honourable House made on Wednesday, the twenty-third of March last, Your Committee have further considered their Second Report, dated Saturday, the nineteenth of March last, upon the Petition of James Wright; praying for the passing of an Act to dissolve his marriage with Sarah Ann Wright, formerly Sarah Ann McDougall.

2. Your Committee find the said Petition, the form of Notice thereof, and the proposed Bill regular and sufficient.

3. Due proof has been made before Your Committee that the Respondent has been personally served with a copy of the said Notice, in compliance with Rule “E.”

4. Due proof has also been made before Your Committee that the said Notice was duly published in the *Canada Gazette* for the full period of six months before the presentation of the said Petition, as required by Rule “D.”

5. Your Committee find that the said Notice was duly published, as required by Rule “D,” in two newspapers, namely, the *Manitoba Free Press* and *Le Manitoba*, but for a period of five months only next preceding the presentation of the said Petition. This omission has been satisfactorily proved to Your Committee not to be due to any negligence or fault of the Petitioner.

6. Your Committee further find that the said publication in the said two newspapers has been duly continued, in each and every weekly issue thereof, from the date of the presentation of the said Petition on the sixteenth of March last, until the date of this Report, the last publication thereof having been made in the *Manitoba Free Press* on the eleventh of April instant and in *Le Manitoba* on the sixth of April instant. Satisfactory proof has been made that publication of the said Notice in *Le Manitoba* will be continued in the issue thereof which, in the ordinary course, will appear to-morrow, the thirteenth of April instant.