

Time Allocation

to its management personnel and allege that this is downright discrimination.

These machinists ask for the support of all Members of Parliament to ensure that the Government of Canada insists that Air Canada offer to all its employees the same benefits provided for in the Air Canada voluntary separation plan for management.

In presenting this petition, I urge the Minister of Transport (Mr. Pepin), on behalf of these 150 employees, to treat all employees of Air Canada with equity and fairness.

* * *

**ACT RESPECTING THE EXECUTION OF CLIFFORD
ROBERT OLSON**

MEASURE TO ESTABLISH

Mr. Gordon Taylor (Bow River) moved for leave to introduce Bill C-671, an Act respecting the execution of Clifford Robert Olson.

Some Hon. Members: Explain.

Mr. Taylor: Madam Speaker, when the laws of Canada provided for the death penalty for murderers, exceptions were made after the court ordered their execution. Now the laws of Canada do not provide for the death penalty. This Bill will provide an exception to the law and Clifford Robert Olson will be hanged within 90 days after its passage.

The Bill shall operate notwithstanding Section 2 or Sections 7 to 15 of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms as contained in Part I of the Constitution Act, 1982 and the Canadian Bill of Rights.

Clifford Robert Olson is guilty beyond the shadow of a doubt of murdering 11 young people and, in committing these hideous, cowardly murders, he has forfeited his right to live.

Motion agreed to, Bill read the first time and ordered to be printed.

* * *

● (1540)

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

ALLOCATION OF TIME TO CONSIDER REPORT AND THIRD
READING STAGES OF BILL C-133

Hon. Herb Gray (President of Treasury Board) moved:

That, in relation to Bill C-133, an Act to amend the Supplementary Retirement Benefits Act (No. 2), one sitting day shall be allotted to the consideration of each of the report stage and the third reading stage of the Bill; and

That 15 minutes before the expiry of the time provided for government business on those days, any proceedings before the House shall be interrupted, if required, for the purpose of this Order and, in turn, every question then necessary in order to dispose of the stage of the Bill then under consideration shall be put forthwith and successively, without further debate or amendment.

He said: In opening debate in support of this motion, I want to submit that Members of the Opposition Parties and all Members have had a broad opportunity to consider the

provisions of this Bill and to present views to Parliament. In fact, members of the public have also had a broad opportunity to let their views be heard. The debate on second reading took up three days of the time of this House, and a review of *Hansard* indicates that during this stage a total of more than seven hours were spent on debate. Of that time some four hours were used by 12 Members of the Opposition Parties. After the House approved this Bill in principle it was referred to the Standing Committee on Miscellaneous Estimates on December 9, 1982. Over 23 hours were spent by the Committee questioning witnesses and in detailed study of the Bill. This took 14 sittings of the Committee over a period of nine sitting days. Thirty one witnesses appeared before the Committee and 12 briefs were presented.

The report of the committee which we have been debating this week arises from 520 pages of Minutes of Proceedings and Evidence of the Standing Committee, not counting the actual briefs which were presented. The Committee voted to refer the Bill to this House with its approval.

As Hon. Members know, for three days this week this House has been engaged in debate on the Report of the Standing Committee on Miscellaneous Estimates on Bill C-133. I do not want to overburden Members of this House with statistical data. However, I would simply like to point out in support of my arguments that there has been ample opportunity for the views of Members of Parliament and the public to be heard on Bill C-133 up to this point in time. On January 17 and 18 alone, in the course of over seven hours of debate, a total of 45 Members were heard, 41 of them would rank themselves with the Opposition Parties, and eight more Opposition Members were heard on this Bill this morning. Thus in debate in this House alone on the provisions of Bill C-133 some 61 Members of the Opposition have spoken. Many more have spoken in committee.

There has been, Mr. Speaker, a full opportunity to study, reflect and to express views on the provisions of what is in reality a very straightforward piece of legislation. Let us remember that the proposal was first announced last June and it has been available for study and comment by Members of Parliament and members of the public all through that time since this proposal was made in last June's budget of last June. As I have said, Bill C-133 is a straightforward Bill. It contains only two short clauses. The explanatory note in the Bill runs to four sentences only. The reason for the Bill can be put into one sentence. The Bill applies the Government six and five restraint program to the indexation of Public Service pensions for a two-year period.

Mr. Kilgour: Unfairly.

Mr. Gray: I am arguing that further debate on this Bill is not required to enable a decision on it to be made by this House.

The questions surrounding the funding and financing of Public Service pensions are complex indeed, but these funding and financing issues are not the subject of Bill C-133. I have