

WELL, THOSE ARE THE NEW RULES AS I SEE THEM.

IN FACT, THEY'RE NOT REALLY RULES AT ALL, OF COURSE, BUT TRENDS IN THE WAY OF DOING OUR NATIONS' BUSINESS. THEIR INTEREST TO US IS IN WHAT THEY REVEAL ABOUT HOW THE TWO COUNTRIES VIEW EACH OTHER AND HOW THEY THINK THE PROBLEMS SHOULD BE ADDRESSED. I SEE THE FRAMEWORK OF THE RELATIONSHIP AS HAVING ALTERED A BIT WITH THE TIMES AS A RESULT OF THE GROWING COMPLEXITY OF THE ISSUES, THE DIVERGENCIES OF NATIONAL INTEREST, THE INCREASING NUMBER OF PLAYERS ON BOTH SIDES, THE BREADTH OF THE ISSUES, AND THE ROLE AND EXPECTATIONS OF THE PUBLIC, OR SHOULD I SAY, VARIOUS PUBLICS, INVOLVED IN THE PROBLEMS.

MY PURPOSE IN SKETCHING IT ALL IS MORE THAN ACADEMIC --- IT IS TO PROMOTE UNDERSTANDING OF THESE NATIONAL INTERESTS. IT IS TO PROMOTE ABOVE ALL A SENSE OF THE WHOLE RELATIONSHIP, WHOSE TONE SHOULD BE SET BY A VIEW FROM BOTH SIDES WHICH TAKES ACCOUNT OF THE REALLY ENORMOUS AMOUNT EACH COUNTRY HAS AT STAKE IN ITS RELATIONSHIP WITH THE OTHER, AND ISN'T VULNERABLE TO EACH AND EVERY ACTION, REACTION, AND OVER-REACTION. THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN US, NATURAL AS THEY ARE, HAVE TO BE KEPT IN THE PERSPECTIVE OF