pain grew so severe that a doctor was sent for; they felt like labor pains, the patient stated; there was only very little hemorrhage.

The patient was ordered to bed for two weeks. The pains were so much relieved at end of this time that the patient was allowed up. Patient was up one day when the pains returned, also some bleeding. Patient again returned to bed, but at the end of another three days miscarried a 41-2 months fetus; this was three weeks before coming to the Hospital. The bleeding the first week after the miscarriage was not very severe, but both the bleeding and the pain gradually grew worse, and at the end of another two weeks patient was sent to the Hospital, where a diagnosis of fibroid was made and sub-total hysterectomy performed, leaving the right ovary. The patient made an uninterrupted recovery.

Specimen.—Consisted of the uterus about the size of the fetal head at term, round, comparatively evenly enlarged, and containing an interstitial fibroid. On section the fibroid was seen to occupy almost the entire thickness of the right posterior uterine body, the uterine canal being elongated to 4 or 5 inches and pushed well to the left, being encroached upon by the tumor.

The cut section showed the characteristic raw-beefsteak appearance of red fibroids, with some softening in the interior of the tumor.

Microscope showed some edema with hyaline degeneration of the muscle cell, and loss of nuclei.

## REFERENCES.

- 1. Burghard's System of Operative Surgery, Vol. IV., 1909, p. 78.
- 2. Willey (quotes) Proc. Royal Soc. Med. Sec. Obstet. & Gyne., Vol. 11, 1908-09, p. 157.
  - 3. Lancet, Vol. I., 1909, p. 242.
  - 4. Lancet, Vol. I., 1909, p. 1756.
  - 5. System of Surgery, Vol. I., p. 773.
- 6. Proc. Roy. Soc. Med., Sec. Obstet. & Gyne., Vol. 11, pt. 2. 1908-09, p. 180.
- 7. Proc. Roy. Soc. Med., Sec. Obstet. & Gyne.. Vol. 11, pt. 2, 1908, p. 300.
  - 8. Ibid 7, p. 103.
  - 9. Ibid 7, p. 127.
  - 10. Wells, Chemical Pathology, 1907, p. 195.
  - 11. Kelly & Cullen.
- 12. M Gibbon, Journal of Obstet.-Gynecology, Vol. XXI., No. 2, Aug. 1909, p. 106.