

- Perigrapha*, Led. I am not agreed with the reference of *muricina* to *plusiiformis*, but I have no material of the former to compare.
173. For *Asteroscopus*, Boisd. *Brachionycha*, Hübn., should be used; see Staud. & Rebel, p. 181, l. c.
177. *Xanthia*. The type is *paleacea*. According to Staud. & Rebel, 207, the species cited as "*flavago*," No. 2199, should be called *lutea*, Ström.; it belongs to *Citria*, Hübn. From the photograph *pulchella* belongs to *Orthosia*; this specific name is too often used. *Put* is same as *Orthosia euroa*.
181. Morrison sent me *apiata* as "*Glea*, n. s.," Bull. B. S. N. S., 211, 1875. It was not type of *sericea* which I noted, Bull. Brklyn Ent. Soc., 37, 1880, but a spec. of *venustula* so named. But Morrison's original description cannot well apply to a *Glea* at all, as elsewhere shown by me. At any rate *venustula* is being called *sericea*.
- No. 2183. The authority should read Grote, not Grote & Robinson.
2197. I regard *angulata* (*exprimens*) as a distinct species.
- Page 178. It is my fault that *Trigonophora* is here used. The genus should be *Habryntis*, Lederer, 1857. I have a specimen of the green *H. scita*, which shows an orange-brown tinting, and recalls thus more nearly the American species.
179. For "*Cosmia*, Ochs," read *Xanthia*, Hübn.
- No. 2222. I prefer *ferruginoides* for the species and *bicolorago* for the variety, since this arrangement brings the forms into correspondence with the original descriptions. It ought to make no difference which stands first on Guenée's page. The important point is, that the name is sustained by the original description, which should always be looked up, and is the only basis and warrant for the application of the name.
2354. *Arcifera* is a dimorphic ♀ form of *Spraguei*; a similar variation is shown by *brevis* and *atriles*. I figure both sexes of *Spraguei* with yellow hind wings. I wonder how many times more I must repeat this. I have never seen a male *arcifera* with black secondaries.
2358. I think *mortua* might stand as an immaculate form of *Packardii*; *nobilis* merely has the lines more distinct than the latter, better written.