that the Association was illegally incorporated, and ought to be dissolved.

There remains the question, which was mainly argued at the bar, whether the judgment of the Court of Queen's Bench which, shortly stated, declares that the Association has no right to act as a Corporation in respect of its most important operations within the Province of Quebec, and prohibiting it from so acting within the province, can be sustained.

It was not disputed by the Counsel for the Attorney General that, on the assumption that the Corporation was duly constituted, the prohibition was too wide, and embraced some matters which might be lawfully done in the province, but it was urged that the operations of the Company contravened the provincial law, at the least, in two respects, viz., in dealing in land, and in acting in contravention of the Building Acts of the province.

It may be granted that, by the law of Quebec, Corporations cannot acquire or hold lands without the consent of the Crown. This law was recognized by this Board, and held to apply to foreign Corporations in the case of the Chaudière Gold Mining Company v. Desbarats (L. R., 5 P. C. 277). It may also be assumed, for the purpose of this appeal, that the power to repeal or modify this law falls within No. 13 of Section 92 of the British North America Act, viz., "Property and Civil Rights within the Province," and belongs exclusively to the Provincial Legislature; so that the Dominion Parliament could not confer powers on the Company to override it. But the powers found in the Act of Incorporation are not necessarily inconsistent with the provincial law of mortmain, which does not absolutely prohibit Corporations from acquiring or holding lands, but only requires, as a condition of their so doing, that they should have the consent of the Crown. If that consent be obtained, a Corporation does not infringe the provincial law of mortmain by acquiring and holding lands. What the Act of Incorporation has done is to create a legal and artificial person with capacity to carry on certain kinds of business, which are defined, within a defined area, viz., throughout the Dominion. Among other things, it has given to the Association power to deal in land and buildings, but the capacity so given only enables it to acquire and hold land in any province consistently with the laws of that province relating to the acquisition and tenure of land. If the Company can so acquire and hold it, the Act of Incorporation gives it capacity to do so.

It is said, however, that the Company has, in fact, violated the law of the province by acquiring and holding land without having obtained the consent of the Crown. It may be so, but this is not the case made by the petition. Proceedings founded on the alleged violation by a Corporation of the mortmain laws would involve an inquiry opening questions (some of which were touched upon in the arguments at the bar) regarding the scope and effect of these laws, the fact of the Crown's consent, the nature and sufficiency of the evidence of it, the consequences of a violation of the laws, and the proper parties to take advantage of it; questions which are certainly not raised by the allegations and conclusions of this petition.

So with respect to the objections founded on the Acts of the Province with regard to building societies. Chief Justice Dorion appears to be of opinion that, inasmuch as the Legislature of the province had passed Acts relating to such societies, and defined and limited their operations, the Dominion Parliament was incompetent to incorporate the present Association, having for one of its objects the erection of buildings throughout the Dominion. Their Lordships, at present, fail to see how the existence of these Provincial Acts, if competently passed for local objects, can interfere with the power of the Dominion Parliament to incorporate the Association in question.

If the Association by its operations has really infringed the Provincial Building Societies Acts, a proper remedy may doubtless be found, adapted to such a violation of the provincial law; but, as their Lordships have just observed, with reference to the supposed contravention of the mortmain Acts, that is not the case made by the petition.

It now becomes material to examine more closely than has hitherto been done the