SPECIAL ARTICLES

Our Contributors

BOOK REVIEWS

A MEDITATION; LUKE VII., 47. The Forgiveness of Sins. By Prof. W. G. Jordan, D.D.

These are the closing words of a brief but powerful discourse; a discourse which was, at the same time, an instructive parable, and an unanswerable argument. A able, and an unanswerable argument. A nenitent woman had come to our Lord desiring to show her new-born love, soon she drew unon herself that silent criticism which is sharrer than repreachful words, and the Saviour manifests his manly ten-derness and shields her from further to ment by uttering in her defense this vicor-ous vindication. In doing so He has spoken words which will for ever bring cheer and comfort to the broken-hearte sinner. We must all expect criticism. It is no doubt a good thing that our life should have to bear the constant examination of others: but there will be times when we shall be misjudged, and that even when we are not in a mood to hear the murmur of disannrobation. We know that we are sorry for our wrong doing, and that have an earnest longing after goodness: and yet we are unable to handle skilfully an argument in our own defence. Then we may look to our Lord as our defender: then we may hear His gentle voice: Ente

then we may hear His gentle voice: Enter into neace: fret not rouselves because of petty criticism and uniest condemnation. We all have a habit of silently indeing one another. These things which stand out in such clear, startling light when the Lord is recent are after all according Lord is present are, after all common things. This man spoke within himself, he withdrew, as it were into the inner say ctuary of his own being, and there held his private conference about that which was passing in the world about him. We do the same, even when we are in company. When the busy world is with us we often retire to talk with ourselves. In this private Judgment Hall we bring un our fellow-men, examine them and pass indement upon them. forgetting that the case has been exceedingly one-sided, for we have had it all to ourselves. Have we never, in such circumstances, heard the solemn worsd sounding through our souls, "Judge not that ye be not judged, for with "Judge not that ye be not judged, for with what judgment ye judge, ye shall be judg-ed; and with what measure ye mete, it shall be measured to you again." In our thoughts of others we need to remember our own fallibility; how can we judge others aright who make so many mistakes concerning ourselves? How difficult in our thoughts to deal fairly with the man of a different set, or sect. or party. We are liable to so many influences that pervert uante to so many intuences that pervert our independent, that when we call others before the tribunal of our thought, we may well tremble with a sense of our own imperfection. Let us not give way-more than we can help to the Pharusaic more than we can make the continual of t low us continually to sit in judgment upon our fellows. They, too, have a silent, secret life. If we cannot measure the working of their minds and the throbbing of their hearts, how shall we judge them? What do we know of the real drama of their hidden life, of the fierce battles that have raged in their souls? God answers our thoughts, the can only reply to us when we put our judgments into words and actions; but God can give a startling response to the unispoken thought, the unexpressed feeling. We often read in the Gospels, "And Jesus seeing their thoughts asthe unspoken thought, the unexpression feeling. We often read in the Gospels, "And Jesus seeing their thoughts answered and sail: 'What does this mean? Why did our Lord sometimes answer statements before they were made, or meet charges that were simply floating in the minds of his accusers?'' The explantion seems to be this: He was dealing with men who regarded religion

as something which belon I merely or as sometaing which respect for tradition and purity a mechanical observance of religious ceremonies. To such men He must make known even in a way that may seem sensational, the great truth that religion is of the spirit. Redemption is the emancipation and purifying of the inward man. Thus He adtions, which are often clever deceptionsdid He not dare to call these men hypo-crites, actors?—but to the real life, the life of the soul. Hence they must some-times have felt that His piercing words scorched the very depths of their being.

When we stand in the presence of our fellow men we do not say all that we think. We give so much as we think pleasant or convenient. It is good, then, to remember that we are ever in the presence of One who knows the secret working of our hearts. As we observe the passing circumstances of our life, as we read the words of divine truth, or listen to the strong spoken word, we sometimes realize that God is speaking sometames realize that God is speaking in our thoughts, responding to our in-ward questions and complaints. In the absolute sense, then, this secret world of thought is not all our own. It would be a harren world if we were left to our-selves in it. The style of our Lord's teaching implies that God comes to us in that sacred sanctuary where we flee from that sacred sanctuary where we had rout the world, and stand alone with self; even there we must look up and say, "Thou God seest me."

What our Lord did in this case was to lead Simon to answer bimself. This was done gently and skilfully. He did not turn suddenly upon him and denounce him in terrible language as a cold-heart-ed hypocrite. He did not smite him abed hypocrite. He did not smite him ab-ruptly with sharp, indignant reproaches. No. He deals lovingly with the man of hittle love; He allows the man who had misjudged a frail woman to judge him-self. Jesus calmly says, "Simon, I have somewhat to say unto thee." Simon throws himself into a cool, judicial frame of mind, and replies, "Master, say on." Then the prophet of Nazareth unfolds a simple parable and concludes by asking a pertinent question. "Which of the two a pertinent question. "Which of the two debtors who have been freely forgiven will have the most gratified?" The problem is not very perplexing. Simon outself settless it; but he does not see that he is condemning himself. In out-eter style we have here the wonderfully dramatic story of. Nathan and David, when the prophet moved the scal of the when the prophet moved the soul of the King by telling the pitiful story of the ewe lamb, and then thundered out "Thou art the man!" So, when Simon answered the question, which of them will love Him most, "I suppose that he to whom Him most, "I suppose that he to whom He forgave most," Jesus puts the case more clearly: "O, Simon, thou art the man of little love; thy lack of enthusiasm, thy cold respectability has led thee wrong. It is better to be broken-hearted, and feel the gush of pure love, hearted, and feel the gash of pure love, than to have a narrow soul kept struight and stiff by the etiquette of a formal religion. Thou hast, perhaps, with infinite pains preserved an outward respect-ability, but thou has never felt the glow of a mighty, passionate love."

of a mighty, passionate love."

It may be that God will some day put before us the parable of our life, and that we shall be allowed to judge ourselves. We shall be judged by principles that we have acknowledged, and processions that we have made. When Jesus places before us the parable, so beautiful yet so pathetic, does not our conscience cry, "Thou are the man?" Christ does not preach parables and ask strunge

questions simply for our mental exercise. He meant to probe our hearts, to stir our souls that we may feel our lack of love, that He may break the hard crust of proud formalism, and bend us to lowly penitence at our Father's throne.

The readiness with which the Pharisee disposes of our Lord's question shows that a man may have clear idea of what is proper in transactions between man and man, while he has no deep in-sight into the relationship of the soul to Simon is compelled to confess that a creditor who frankly forgives an una creditor who frankly forgives an un-fortunate debtor is a man of a generous disposition; and that the gratitude of men to their benefactors should be in proportion to blessings received; but he does not realize that we all stand on the same ground of indebtedness to God, and that our love to the Highest depends upon our large or small conception of divine mercy. He is equal to a question of morality, a matter of human duty; but he lacks the loving sympathy which gives insight into spiritual experience. So it is often with us. Wehave examined Wehave examined well the duty of man to man in business, society and politics. We believe in fairmess, honor and gratitude. And these are good things to believe in; but we need a deeper sense of our responsibility to God, the need of pardon, the offor of divine love. We may be informed in many things and vet neglect the deep-er life of the soul. These are the things that our Lord would force upon our ; tention. He, the greatest preacher, who speaks to us of righteousness, temper-ance and judgment to come, and brings promise of pardon and eternal life.

promise of parsion and eternal life. The distinctive claim that Jessus makes for Himself is that "The Son of Man has power on earth to forgive sins." This namelie contains the convolumentary truth that we all need forgiveness, the cold-hearted promotable since see a single section. that we all need torgiveness, the con-hearted, respectable sinner, as well as the broken-hearted, penitent sinner. So far as the creed is concerned this is a fun-damental, familiar truth; but we need a more vivid realization of it. There is an eternal law of righteousness from which we have wandered; an Eternal Fawhich we have windered; an Ezemia Picher whom we have grieved. We can not by any act of our own blot out the inveverable past or undo the effects of wilful transgression. From this point of xiew the law is not an automatic machine, a mere course of nature; it is the expression of will, the revelation of God's hatred against sin. If we are to be hatred against sin. If we are to be brought into harmony with this law we must be reconciled to God through re-ceiving a full and free forgiveness. Here we all stand on the same platform. The respectable as well as the vulgar; the careful moralist as well as the careless profligate; the self-suitsfied formalist as well as the charge of the self-suitsfied of the careless profligate; the self-suitsfied formalist as we are to be well as the broken-hearted penitent. do not, in saying this, wish to depreciate morality, education, or any refining in-fluence; we merely contend that the renuence; we merely contend that the re-quirements of divine haw go beyond the surface and deal with the immost life of man. We do not necessairly decises mobile music and beautiful paintings when we say that they will not keep a man alive, he must have bread and water or he will die; so we do not contemn the refining influences which make the the refining influences which make the outer life cleaner and comelier, when we declare that they cannot minister to a mind discosed, or still the anguish of a guilty conscience. Thank God, we do not need to say "therein the patient most minister to himself." If we have received a real copyel we are saved from that helpless cry, for Jesus is revealed as the Saviour from sin.

"Behold I was shapen in iniquity and in sin did my mother conceive Me. Behold thou desirest truth in the inward