cized

this is not effective by the nan as he finds it rduct the simple g the SRC. I think ld brush up on Mr. Chairman inly looking things meeting as has proctice.

need is not the of Mr. Bosnitch, ore people like one cannot help at the present n of my word, if o the broadcast ng last Monday undoubtedly ten seconds of l laughter from ause it was truly

Yours truly,

John Jerney (BSc(CS) II

as to whether g the students'

SRC er than making ot becoming in-J. Turpin \$

Councillor discusses issues

Decr Editor:

I've been idly reading the last three issues of the Brunswicken and I feel it's time made a few comments to hopefully clear up a few problems and perhaps start some new ones. First of all I would like to comment on the disgusting behavior of our SRC president, Mr. Kevin Ratcliff. I'm sure this issue will be addressed by others this week and I feel I should put in my opinion. I would like to know why our President would pull such a low trick as revealing the academic standing of an SRC councillor to the SRC council and then to the public. It is none of the President's business and has nothing to do with the issues dealt with by the SRC. I also believe that any person, especially in administration, who would reveal the academic standing of one student to another student should be strung up and flogged to death (only kidding about the floggin). I feel that it is a disgrace to the Student Union that our Prez would resort to such an indirect and unnecessary attack.

Secondly, in Mugwump Journai, Suan Reed says that Finnan supporters are active and

that they vote. Now if the other candidates' supporters didn't vote they wouldn't have had any votes cast in their favour and I don't think that their supporters are a bunch of lazy bums (this is an opposite of "active" students).

Thirdly, the new "cunning linguist" should realize that a phobia is a fear (which is not in the definition) so Bosnitchphobia must be a fear of Bosnitch, which the definitionwriter must have since he didn't include his name. (The same goes for the Chairman of Save Humanity Committee).

Number four, folks. Mr. Finnan should follow his own advice and put his "defences down" since he attacked Mr. Vanicek for his "accusations" which I perceived as questions to be replied to and not defended against. Stop being childish and immature Gerard and cut down on your adjectives if you reply to this letter.

Let's go to five, Woodfield's letter. It says that I was told by Dr. Woodfield that Mr. Kay had passed the changed wording onto him on behalf of the SUB board. Well, Dr. Woodfield must have had a lapse of

Kay and had done so because of a letter, sent to Mr. Kay from Mr. Petrie, advising a change in the wording which he had a copy of. Mr. Kay says in the Oct. 23rd issue (front page) that "the board did not request that the wording be changed." Yet now Dr. Woodfield says that Mr. Kay changed it. There is something terribly wrong with some of these statements. I challenge Dr. Woodfield and Mr. Kay to respond to this letter.

Finally, let's go after the SU3 referendum issue. The Bruns had made a stand for the YES side (no surprise since they will benefit from the renovations) and it respresents "students from almost all faculties and years" (approximately five according to Oct. 23rd issue in Mugwump). The Bruns and various other YES supporters continue to say "nothing is definite, only suggested" although Mr. Kay in the Oct. 23rd issue says that the issue "is in the student's interests. It is in ours (referring to the SUB board) to stand up to the obligation. We will put in writing anything to bind us to the concept, as it was memory since he told me that always our intent to align to

Ratcliff (expressed in his letter for the YES vote) a NO vote does not mean that renovations can not be done. It does mean that, as the question says, that the "presently proposed" renovations won't be done and it doesn't mean that another referendum for different renovations or simply for upkeep can't be held if the NO vote prevails. So all those YES voters who tried to clear up the voters minds were clouding them to make people

himself without consulting Mr. views of the Bruns and Mr. YES the SUB will fall apart and be taken over by the University. This is another attempt to sway voters and is definitely a misleading lie.

Steven Osborne

SRC Comp Sci Rep.

P.S. Ail SRC members please note that I signed this letter as Comp Sci Rep.

Editor's Note: The Brunswickon will not benefit from the probelieve that if you don't vote posed renovations.

Letter contained 35 errors

Mr. Finnan:

After reading your "Reply to Questions," in the Bruns last week, you have removed all doubt from my mind, that you are indeed a "cunning linguist". Your letter contains. no less than 35 grammatical erros. It should be brought to your attention that: "Now for the so called committee receipt books?" and "As for dinner at the Martinique?" are not proper sentences, let alone

questions. In your reply to Miss Frish, you suggested that, "she put down her defences and learn to read what is actually in writing." May I suggest that you, actually learn to write what you are thinking.

Sincerely,

Herb Sinnett

P.S. We have the technology to rebuild you . . . but why

Supports Bosnitch impeachment

Dear Editor:

I am writing about the headline in last week's Bruns, "Bosnitch to be impeached."

I hope so. He has attacked innocent people like Dr. Woodfield, Kevin Ratcliff, the Orientation Committee and Charles Gallagher in the Bruns. It is about time that somebody dealt with him.

He made us all look bad with his speech during the cutbacks protest march.

He keeps bringing old disputes that have already been settled back up at the SRC. He tries to create trouble where none existed e.g. his letters to the Bruns about the SUB referendym and orientation committee.

He is just a negative influence. He opposes everything but has no proposals of his own.

i support any impeachment attempt whole heartedly and regret that I am no longer a councillor to aid his impeachment.

Jeff Crocket

BCS(2)



NOTICE **STUDENTS**

Are you concerned about the future of your University Education? Of course you are. And

The New Brunswick Progressive Conservative Youth Federation and the UNBSTU P.C. Youth Club will be holding a seminar on 'The Future of Post-Secondary Education in Canada' on Friday, November 20th in Room 102, Tilley Hall beginning at 2:30 p.m.

Proposed cutbacks by the Federal Government in University Funding under the Established Programs Financing Act will be the main theme of this seminar; one of a number of seminars organized by the P.C. Youth Federation of Canada to draw attention to this important issue.

After all-- We're students too.

Special Guest Speakers Include: Blaine Thacker, M.P. (Lethbridge-Foothills) and

Member of the Parliamentary Task Force on Federal-Provincial Fiscal Relations examining this problem.

Hon, Fernand Dubé, Minister of Finance, Province of New Brunswick.

Kevin Ratcliff , President, U.N.B. Student Representative Council.

Plan to Attend. It's our Future that's at Stake.