Witness, what would be the value, under Clothing Division "B", of one pair of socks, woollen; 75% value? Q.35

One pair? A. 35

Q.36 Yes. 470.

47¢. Now, take your time in answering that question. You gave evidence that there were three pairs at a value of \$1.05.

35¢ a pair, sir. A.37

I show you Exhibit "I". You have in your evidence identified the accused, and you gave his name to the Court. Can you tell the Court whether or not, at the time of taking this inspection, you satisfied yourself that the soldier mentioned in M.F.B. 375 was the man who was paraded before you? Yes, sir. It is possible that the promunciation has mixed me up at the start, but the accused is the man that was brought down: 1.603054 Pte. C-R-Y-W-A-C-H-E-S-K-I, initial, P. 0.38

A.38

Is he in this room?

Q.39 A.39 Yes, sir.

Will you indicate him to the Court? Right there, sir (indicating the accused). Q.40 A.40

Now, Squadron Quartermaster Sergeant Collins, did you 0.41 give the accused any warning about any statement he night make to you at the time you were conducting this mit inspection?

Mo. I didn't, sir.

Then I will ask you not to tell the Court anything the 4.42 accused said; you understand?

Yes, sir.

Did you give the accused any opportunity of producing the articles that you found deficient, as you have 0.43 told the Court?

I told him, sir, to send for his kit, which apparently he hasn't done. 4.43

Did ou give the secused an opportunity to send for his wit? 0.44

Yes, sir. 在上海市

Did the accused What did the accused do about it. 447

Nothing, sir.

Had these articles arrived at 12th District Depot from 0915 hours on the 29th of January, 1945, to 1400 hours of the 2nd day of Pebruary-that's today-would this equipment have come into your department?

It would, sir; and it hasn't. Q.46

1.06

Did yok check to make sure that it had not arrived? The express name in this morning. There was nothing for the accused there. 4 4 1

The Defending Officer declines to cross-examine.