

Q. And you have given your agents new instructions that have been advocated by the opposition for years.

A. I do not know anything about that.

Q. I do. If you look at Lord Strathcona's report or at Obed Smith's report, you will find that they state it is quality they want instead of quantity. Now, that is what we have advocated for years.

A. That is what we are getting now.

Q. I am very glad to hear it. That is what we want. These men have made a speciality of it in the report pointing out that these instructions have been given and that we must get a better class of immigrants—quality rather than quantity. Now turn to 1933, That is the case of E. R. Knight.

A. Mr. W. J. Bowen wrote:—'E. R. Knight came to me but only stayed three days and left for city or town.'

Q. That was not a farm labourer.

A. I cannot say, I am sure.

Q. Turn to 9327. That is the case of Herbert J. Paul, wife and three children.

A. Mr. James Breckenbridge wrote regarding Herbert J. Paul:—'I did have an immigrant but not Mr. Paul and wife. I had a man by the name of William Morrer, from Belfast, Ireland. He was a married man but his wife lived in Belfast, Ireland, and I must say he was as good a man as I ever worked with, that is after he got a knowledge of the work. I paid him \$15 a month. He only worked for me three months. He was a draper by trade and of course farming and him did not hitch very well, but while he was with me he was a first-class man.'

Q. Did you pay the commission on the wife although she is in Belfast?

A. I cannot tell you that for I have not the accounts.

Q. It looks like that. I have not the report that Mr. Miller made on the case, but it does seem to me that Mr. Miller having made a false report according to your own statement and got the commission on parties whom he did not locate it was a criminal thing. It does not seem to me that any man has a right to make a false report and draw money on it without being liable to criminal prosecution. Now why did you not take some action as you did in the case of Mr. Waugh of Chatham; why did not you report him to the Justice Department?

A. That is a matter for the minister.

Q. You did not do that?

A. I cannot say from memory. I have no doubt it was submitted to the minister first.

Q. By whom?

A. By me.

Q. You have not done that yet.

A. No, not in this case.

Q. What do you think about it?

A. I am not expressing any opinion.

Q. I think you ought to tell the committee what you think about a case like this. I think it is a very glaring case of a man who has robbed the country of \$72 by false reports and I think there should be some policy in your department as to what should be done with such a man.

A. If you write a letter making a complaint I will lay it before the minister.

Q. Do you pretend to say you do not know anything about a complaint?

A. I know you are making a complaint.

Q. I am simply giving you the documentary evidence from your own books. Is that not sufficient? Did anybody write you a letter asking you to prosecute Mr. Waugh?

A. Not that I know of.