

MR. JOHN E. RUSSELL.

Mr. J. D. REID:

What amount in each year, including the current fiscal year, has been paid to John E. Russell for work done in connection with Toronto harbour and the eastern entrance thereto?

Hon. WM. PUGSLEY:

	\$	c.
In 1898-99.. . . . .	1,585	95
1905-06.. . . . .	5,856	67
1905-06.. . . . .	4,299	82
1907-8.. . . . .	5,785	83
1908-09.. . . . .	3,705	82
1908-09.. . . . .	2,529	60
1909-10.. . . . .	9,783	81
Total .. . . . .	33,547	50

CANADA PERMANENT FORCE.

Mr. BORDEN:

1. What proportion of men serving in the permanent force of Canada have re-enlisted after the expiration of their term?
2. Is the permanent force at full strength? If not, what is the shortage?
3. If there is a shortage or deficiency, what is the cause of it?
4. What proportion of the permanent force is Canadian born?
5. For what term are men enlisted in the permanent force?

Sir FREDERICK BORDEN:

1. 50 per cent.
2. Yes.
3. Answered by No. 2.
4. Approximately; officers 90 per cent, non-commissioned officers and men 20 per cent.
5. Section 17 of the Militia Act provides that the period of service in time of peace shall be as follows: (a). For the active militia, \*three years. (b). For the reserve militia, such period as is prescribed.  
\*The term active militia includes the permanent force.

SUBSIDIES TO BRUCE MINES AND ALGOMA RAILWAY COMPANY.

Mr. BOYCE:

1. What subsidies have been granted to the Bruce Mines and Algoma Railway Company by the Canadian government?
2. When were these granted and are they still in force?
3. How much of this line has been completed, and how much has been paid in subsidies?
4. Has any application been made recently for renewal of subsidy to this line?

Mr. GRAHAM:

1 and 2.. By Subsidy Act, chap. 7, 1901, item 24, a subsidy was granted for a line of railway from a point on the Algoma branch of the Canadian Pacific railway at or near Bruce Lake station northerly to a point at or near Rock lake, not exceeding nine miles.

Mr. FIELDING.

This subsidy was granted to the above-named company by contract No. 14,360, dated November 5, 1901, and expired May 23, 1905.

By Subsidy Act, 1903, chap. 57, item 38, a subsidy was granted to the above-named company for 21 miles from the end of the line subsidized by chap. 7, of 1901 (no contract was made for this subsidy). This subsidy expired October 24, 1907.

By Subsidy Act, 1904, chap. 34, item 2, a subsidy was granted to the above-named company for:

(a) That portion of its line of railway from Bruce Mines junction south to the town of Bruce Mines on Lake Huron, not exceeding three miles.

(b) The six miles of railway constructed from Gordon Lake station, being the end of its line as subsidized by chap. 7, 1901, north of Rock lake, a distance of six miles.

(c) Twelve miles from Rock lake north, a distance not exceeding twelve miles.

These subsidies were granted in lieu by item 38, section 2, of chap. 57, 1903.

On October 20, 1904—A contract was entered into by the above-named company for the subsidy covered by section 'b' of Act of 1904, being from Gordon lake to Rock lake.

By contract dated January 28, 1905, the company were granted subsidy for the line (a) mentioned in Act of 1904, chap. 34, for the line from Bruce Mines junction to the town of Bruce Mines, a distance of three miles. These subsidies expired August 10, 1908.

1903—  
January 24. Subsidy on nine miles at \$3,200, from a point on the Algoma branch of the Canadian Pacific railway, near Bruce Lake station, to a point near Rock lake. . . . . \$28,800

1905—  
January 4. Subsidy on 5.90 miles, Gordon Lake station to Rock lake at \$3,200 per mile.. \$18,880

March 9. Subsidy on 1.95 miles, from Bruce Mines junction southerly to town of Bruce Mines at \$3,200 per mile. . . . \$6,240

\$53,920

4. Yes, an application was received on the 4th April, 1909, from McCarthy, Osler, Hosken & Harcourt, on behalf of the railway company.

ADDITION AND REPAIRS TO KENSINGTON WHARF.

Mr. BOYCE:

1. Upon whose representations did the Department of Public Works act in sending its engineer to make an examination of Kensington wharf for the purpose of ascertaining what additions and repairs were necessary?