The CHAIRMAN: Form 29 is "Oath of office of deputy returning officer." What is the reason for this?

Mr. CASTONGUAY: It is exactly the same as for the amendment of subsection (2) of section 34. It is set out in the explanatory note. It is printed on the last page of the memorandum.

Carried.

The CHAIRMAN: Form 30, "Appointment and oath of office of poll clerk."

Carried.

Will someone move that these mimeographed forms be carried?

Mr. MACNICOL: I will so move.

Carried.

The CHAIRMAN: Now we are back on page 40 of the bill. We have carried 34 and we come to form 34A, "Directions to electors."

Carried.

Form 37, "Oath of qualification."

Carried.

Form 38, "Affidavit of qualification."

Carried.

Form 41, "Affidavit of a candidate's agent to be subscribed before voting on a transfer certificate."

Carried.

Form 45, "Oath of person whose name is not on the official list."

Carried.

Form 46, "Oath of person vouching."

Carried.

Form 9A, "Printer's affidavit."

Carried.

Form 9B, "Returning officer's affidavit."

Carried.

Form 18A, "Certificate to be issued by the returning officer to an elector."

Carried.

And now we come to section 46 on page 52, "The Canadian defence service voting regulations." Is there any discussion or are these all as you had them last year, Mr. Castonguay?

Mr. CASTONGUAY: The paragraph numbers have been changed.

The CHAIRMAN: This is one of those changes effected by the drafting personnel. I have here a long list of the numbers as they were in your final report and as they now are in the bill. There has been no change in the substance, but there has been a complete rearrangement of the sequence of the sections and paragraphs.

Mr. CASTONGUAY: The change in the sequence was made necessary because the provisions respecting veteran electors were introduced at the end of the committee's meetings, and we did not have time to consider their effect in the sequence of the paragraphs. When the bill was studied afterwards it was found necessary to realign these paragraphs.

Mr. MURPHY: It does not affect the interpretation?

Mr. CASTONGUAY: It does not affect the interpretation in the principle laid down and the paragraphs have not been changed in any way.

Mr. MACNICOL: What is the purpose of this?