

# THE CAMPBELLTON GRAPHIC

ESTABLISHED: 1870

CAMPBELLTON, NEW BRUNSWICK, FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 10TH, 1909.

PRICE: Single Copy 5 CENTS. One Dollar Per Year.

## PEARY HAS ALSO DISCOVERED POLE

Wires That He Arrived at Pole April 9th, 1909, or a Year After Cook Claims He Reached There.

### ASTONISHES WORLD

NEW YORK, Sept. 9.—Commander Peary announced today that he had reached the North Pole on April 9, 1909, a year after Cook's claim.

Peary's announcement is a surprise to the world, as it contradicts the claim of Robert E. Peary, who announced that he had reached the North Pole on April 9, 1909.

Peary's announcement is a surprise to the world, as it contradicts the claim of Robert E. Peary, who announced that he had reached the North Pole on April 9, 1909.

Peary's announcement is a surprise to the world, as it contradicts the claim of Robert E. Peary, who announced that he had reached the North Pole on April 9, 1909.

Peary's announcement is a surprise to the world, as it contradicts the claim of Robert E. Peary, who announced that he had reached the North Pole on April 9, 1909.

Peary's announcement is a surprise to the world, as it contradicts the claim of Robert E. Peary, who announced that he had reached the North Pole on April 9, 1909.

Peary's announcement is a surprise to the world, as it contradicts the claim of Robert E. Peary, who announced that he had reached the North Pole on April 9, 1909.

Peary's announcement is a surprise to the world, as it contradicts the claim of Robert E. Peary, who announced that he had reached the North Pole on April 9, 1909.

Peary's announcement is a surprise to the world, as it contradicts the claim of Robert E. Peary, who announced that he had reached the North Pole on April 9, 1909.

Peary's announcement is a surprise to the world, as it contradicts the claim of Robert E. Peary, who announced that he had reached the North Pole on April 9, 1909.

Peary's announcement is a surprise to the world, as it contradicts the claim of Robert E. Peary, who announced that he had reached the North Pole on April 9, 1909.

Peary's announcement is a surprise to the world, as it contradicts the claim of Robert E. Peary, who announced that he had reached the North Pole on April 9, 1909.

Peary's announcement is a surprise to the world, as it contradicts the claim of Robert E. Peary, who announced that he had reached the North Pole on April 9, 1909.

Peary's announcement is a surprise to the world, as it contradicts the claim of Robert E. Peary, who announced that he had reached the North Pole on April 9, 1909.

Peary's announcement is a surprise to the world, as it contradicts the claim of Robert E. Peary, who announced that he had reached the North Pole on April 9, 1909.

Peary's announcement is a surprise to the world, as it contradicts the claim of Robert E. Peary, who announced that he had reached the North Pole on April 9, 1909.

Peary's announcement is a surprise to the world, as it contradicts the claim of Robert E. Peary, who announced that he had reached the North Pole on April 9, 1909.

Peary's announcement is a surprise to the world, as it contradicts the claim of Robert E. Peary, who announced that he had reached the North Pole on April 9, 1909.

Peary's announcement is a surprise to the world, as it contradicts the claim of Robert E. Peary, who announced that he had reached the North Pole on April 9, 1909.

Peary's announcement is a surprise to the world, as it contradicts the claim of Robert E. Peary, who announced that he had reached the North Pole on April 9, 1909.

Peary's announcement is a surprise to the world, as it contradicts the claim of Robert E. Peary, who announced that he had reached the North Pole on April 9, 1909.

Peary's announcement is a surprise to the world, as it contradicts the claim of Robert E. Peary, who announced that he had reached the North Pole on April 9, 1909.

Peary's announcement is a surprise to the world, as it contradicts the claim of Robert E. Peary, who announced that he had reached the North Pole on April 9, 1909.

Peary's announcement is a surprise to the world, as it contradicts the claim of Robert E. Peary, who announced that he had reached the North Pole on April 9, 1909.

## COUNCIL DECIDES TO CARRY CASE TO SUPREME COURT

Unanimous Verdict of Council That Case Be Appealed ---Does Town Control Its Water System the Vital Question Now.

### OTHER BUSINESS OF COUNCIL

A meeting of the Town Council was held on Tuesday evening, the full board being in attendance.

The Police & License Committee recommended that Officer Verax's services be dispensed with after the 31st of October, as the work could be done by two officers during the winter season.

S. W. Dimock was granted permission to install a two inch standpipe at O'Brien's house for fire protection, and Messrs. Duncan & McLennan a similar service for their foundry.

On recommendation of Electric Light Com. it was ordered that I. C. R. authorities be notified that after Oct. 1st, 1909, they will receive electric current by approved meter only at the rate of 12 cents per kilowatt, and 25 cents per month meter rent.

The Special Com. re Crockett case reported recommending that the town solicitor be instructed to enter and prosecute an appeal in the Crockett case in the Supreme Court at Fredericton.

Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous.

Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous.

Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous.

Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous.

Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous.

Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous.

Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous.

Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous.

Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous.

Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous.

Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous.

Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous.

Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous.

Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous.

Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous.

Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous.

Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous.

Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous.

Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous. Council's decision was unanimous.

## DOES NOT STICK TO THE EVIDENCE

Mr. Cronin's Evidence Incomplete --- Strengthens His Own Case --- Shows Weakness.

### ABSURD CLAIMS

Mr. Cronin in the Tribunal makes passages from the evidence, in what he claims is the evidence, to prove that his case of damages was clearly proven, but in a number of cases the quotations are not in accordance with the evidence given under oath.

First, we quote the Tribunal: "Although Mr. Cronin pleaded for water, it was not given him, but was given to the outside mill and it was proved in court last week by Mr. Black the town engineer that the giving of this water to the mill affected the pressure at the hospital and at the highlands, owing to the spillway being cut down 2 1/2 feet and the water being forced over hills much higher than the hospital and the highlands."

That is not so. Mr. Black never stated that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet. What Mr. Black did state was that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet and the water was forced over hills much higher than the hospital and the highlands.

That is not so. Mr. Black never stated that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet. What Mr. Black did state was that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet and the water was forced over hills much higher than the hospital and the highlands.

That is not so. Mr. Black never stated that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet. What Mr. Black did state was that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet and the water was forced over hills much higher than the hospital and the highlands.

That is not so. Mr. Black never stated that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet. What Mr. Black did state was that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet and the water was forced over hills much higher than the hospital and the highlands.

That is not so. Mr. Black never stated that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet. What Mr. Black did state was that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet and the water was forced over hills much higher than the hospital and the highlands.

That is not so. Mr. Black never stated that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet. What Mr. Black did state was that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet and the water was forced over hills much higher than the hospital and the highlands.

That is not so. Mr. Black never stated that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet. What Mr. Black did state was that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet and the water was forced over hills much higher than the hospital and the highlands.

That is not so. Mr. Black never stated that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet. What Mr. Black did state was that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet and the water was forced over hills much higher than the hospital and the highlands.

That is not so. Mr. Black never stated that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet. What Mr. Black did state was that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet and the water was forced over hills much higher than the hospital and the highlands.

That is not so. Mr. Black never stated that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet. What Mr. Black did state was that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet and the water was forced over hills much higher than the hospital and the highlands.

That is not so. Mr. Black never stated that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet. What Mr. Black did state was that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet and the water was forced over hills much higher than the hospital and the highlands.

That is not so. Mr. Black never stated that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet. What Mr. Black did state was that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet and the water was forced over hills much higher than the hospital and the highlands.

That is not so. Mr. Black never stated that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet. What Mr. Black did state was that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet and the water was forced over hills much higher than the hospital and the highlands.

That is not so. Mr. Black never stated that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet. What Mr. Black did state was that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet and the water was forced over hills much higher than the hospital and the highlands.

That is not so. Mr. Black never stated that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet. What Mr. Black did state was that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet and the water was forced over hills much higher than the hospital and the highlands.

That is not so. Mr. Black never stated that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet. What Mr. Black did state was that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet and the water was forced over hills much higher than the hospital and the highlands.

That is not so. Mr. Black never stated that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet. What Mr. Black did state was that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet and the water was forced over hills much higher than the hospital and the highlands.

That is not so. Mr. Black never stated that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet. What Mr. Black did state was that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet and the water was forced over hills much higher than the hospital and the highlands.

That is not so. Mr. Black never stated that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet. What Mr. Black did state was that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet and the water was forced over hills much higher than the hospital and the highlands.

That is not so. Mr. Black never stated that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet. What Mr. Black did state was that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet and the water was forced over hills much higher than the hospital and the highlands.

That is not so. Mr. Black never stated that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet. What Mr. Black did state was that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet and the water was forced over hills much higher than the hospital and the highlands.

That is not so. Mr. Black never stated that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet. What Mr. Black did state was that the spillway was cut down 2 1/2 feet and the water was forced over hills much higher than the hospital and the highlands.

## CO COUNCILLORS WERE ELECTED

Elections on Tuesday Result in Considerable Change in Councillors.

### THOSE ELECTED

The election for county councillors took place last Tuesday and considerable interest was manifested.

In addition to Messrs. Adams and McLean, who were elected by acclamation, the following were elected by ballot: Mr. Peary and Mr. Cronin.

The election for county councillors took place last Tuesday and considerable interest was manifested.

In addition to Messrs. Adams and McLean, who were elected by acclamation, the following were elected by ballot: Mr. Peary and Mr. Cronin.

The election for county councillors took place last Tuesday and considerable interest was manifested.

In addition to Messrs. Adams and McLean, who were elected by acclamation, the following were elected by ballot: Mr. Peary and Mr. Cronin.

The election for county councillors took place last Tuesday and considerable interest was manifested.

In addition to Messrs. Adams and McLean, who were elected by acclamation, the following were elected by ballot: Mr. Peary and Mr. Cronin.

The election for county councillors took place last Tuesday and considerable interest was manifested.

In addition to Messrs. Adams and McLean, who were elected by acclamation, the following were elected by ballot: Mr. Peary and Mr. Cronin.

The election for county councillors took place last Tuesday and considerable interest was manifested.

In addition to Messrs. Adams and McLean, who were elected by acclamation, the following were elected by ballot: Mr. Peary and Mr. Cronin.

The election for county councillors took place last Tuesday and considerable interest was manifested.

In addition to Messrs. Adams and McLean, who were elected by acclamation, the following were elected by ballot: Mr. Peary and Mr. Cronin.

The election for county councillors took place last Tuesday and considerable interest was manifested.

In addition to Messrs. Adams and McLean, who were elected by acclamation, the following were elected by ballot: Mr. Peary and Mr. Cronin.

The election for county councillors took place last Tuesday and considerable interest was manifested.

In addition to Messrs. Adams and McLean, who were elected by acclamation, the following were elected by ballot: Mr. Peary and Mr. Cronin.

The election for county councillors took place last Tuesday and considerable interest was manifested.

In addition to Messrs. Adams and McLean, who were elected by acclamation, the following were elected by ballot: Mr. Peary and Mr. Cronin.

The election for county councillors took place last Tuesday and considerable interest was manifested.

In addition to Messrs. Adams and McLean, who were elected by acclamation, the following were elected by ballot: Mr. Peary and Mr. Cronin.

The election for county councillors took place last Tuesday and considerable interest was manifested.

In addition to Messrs. Adams and McLean, who were elected by acclamation, the following were elected by ballot: Mr. Peary and Mr. Cronin.

## Overcoats.

We have just opened up our Fall and Winter Overcoats.

Never in the history of Campbellton has there been such a large range of overcoats shown in our store. You cannot afford not looking them over, they speak for themselves.

BRUCE, The Clothier

## Constipation

Constipation is caused by the eating of indigestible food, irregular habits, the use of stimulants, spices and irritating food, and strong drastic purgatives, which destroy the tone of the stomach and the contractile of the lower bowel; therefore, when the liver is inactive, and failing to secrete bile in sufficient quantity, constipation is sure to follow, and after constipation comes piles, one of the most annoying troubles one can have.

MILBURN'S LAXA-LIVER PILLS cure all troubles arising from the liver. Miss Mary Burgoyne, Kingsclere, N.B., writes: "I have used Milburn's Laxa-Liver Pills for constipation and have found them to be an excellent remedy for the complaint."

Price 25 cents per box, or 5 for \$1.00, at all dealers or mailed direct on receipt of price by Milburn Co., Limited, Toronto, Ont.

There is one floor finish that endures — withstands hard usage — coats floors with a creviceless, glossy, wear-proof surface — is easily applied — dries hard overnight — repels dust — can be washed like glass — good for outdoor floors also. Send for color-card and free booklet.



RECOMMENDED AND SOLD BY Dalhousie Mercantile Co., Ltd. DALHOUSIE, N. B.