

Toronto, Ont.

TREAL

DOCH

Forld

ITT.

28-15

of in for er of D ing of M of M

L.

Canad

AL

indue 16-1y

John Fisken & Co-ROCK OIL and Commission Merchants, Yonge St.,

Toronto, Ont. W. & R. Griffith. IMPORTERS of Teas, Wines, etc. Ontario Chambers, cor. Church and Front Sts, Toronto.

Thes. Haworth & Co.

IMPORTERS and dealers in Iron, Cutlery and general Hardware, King St., Toronto, Out,

Hurd, Leigh & Co. GILDERS and Equivelers of China and Earthenware, 72 Yonge St., Toronto, Ont. [See advt.]

Lyman & McNab.

WHOLESALE Hardware Merchants, Toronto, Ontario. W. D. Matthews & Co-

PRODUCE Commission Merchants, Old Corn Exchange, 16 Front St. East, Toronto Ont.

R. C. Hamilton & Co.

PRODUCE Commission Merchants, 119 Lower Water St., Halifax, Nova Scotia,

Parson Bros.,

DETROLEUM Refiners, and Wholesale dealers in Lamps Chimneys, etc. Walcrooms 51 Front St. Refinery eur. River and Don >ts., Toronto.

C. P. Reid & Co. MPORTERS and Dealers in Wines, Liquors, Cigars and Leaf Tobacco, Wellington Street, Toronto. 28.

W. Rowland & Co.,

PRODUCE BROKERS and General Commission Mer-chants. Advances mile on Consignments. Corner church and Front Streets, Toronto. Reford & Dillon.

MPORTERS of Graceries, Wellington Street, Toronto,

Sessions, Turner & Co., MANUFACTURERS, Importers and Wholesale Dealers in Boots and Shoes, Leather Findings, etc., 8 Wel-ington St West, Toronto, Ont

name, as a portion of the paper was still recover-able, and it would not be prudent to refer in precise terms to unclosed business. The main question, however, was what was best to be done to meet these losses, and their proposition had been to reduce the value of their stock to, say, sixty cents on the dollar. Legislation would be required for the various alterations proposed to be effected in their position, and that they (the directors) desired to take anthority to seek for.

Some questions were put by stockholders con-cerning a discrepancy in the Statements of the value of the assets, which the President explained amounted to only about \$6,000, which was further reduced by \$3,000. Several stockholders expressed gratification that the discrepancy was so small. It was moved by A. T. Wood, Esq., seconded by Colonel Martin, "That the report of the committee be adopted.

Mr. Street was in favour of the adoption of the report, with the exception of the clause for chang-ing the name of the bank. He could see no good reason for this change, while there were, he considered, several weighty reasons against it. large expense would be incurred, for no benefit that he could see. Some of the assets of the Bank, he thought, were undervalued in the statement. They had Government debentures amounting to \$82,000 odd, face value, and these were put down at present market value, \$6,000 or so less. The Government compelled the bank to hold these securities; why, therefore, should they be reckoned at less than par value ? He thought there was an inconsistency here.

Mr. Irving said that the adoption of the report would not bind the meeting to change the name. of the Bank, as it must rest with an Act of Parliament. The adoption of the report would not bind the shareholders to act upon the recommendation of the Directors He had heard the reasons assig ned for the change of name : 1st, That under the name of the Bank of Hamilton, the institution would rise above a feeling which had been raised

of bills, was based mainly on the fact that the bills were counterfeited, and that the Bank had recently received eighty four bills that were counterfeit.

It was then moved in amendment by T. C. Street, ** Esq., seconded by D. Thompson, Esq., "That when the report of the Directors is adopted, the last clause be omitted."-Lost.

A second amendment, moved by Dr. Wm. Clarke, seconded by Dr. W. L. Billings, to the effect-"That the report of the Directors now read be not adopted as a whole; but that it be read clause by clause." was also lost was also lost. clause

Dr. Billings having strongly urged the desirability winding up their affairs, a long discussion en-sued as to how rotes were to be taken upon such a vital matter, and the Chairman having finally stated that he would put Dr. Billings' resolution after the business in hand, and would count votes by shares, the Report was adopted without further discussion.

discussion. Dr. Billings then moved, seconded by Dr. Clarke, a resolution affirmatory of the advisability of winding up the affairs of the Bank, and em-powering the Board to take the necessary steps for doing so. He was actuated by no feeling of hos-tility in bringing forward such a measure, but hitherto their transactions had been very unforthenate and their capital had been lost without any proper account having been given of it. Shares had been maintained at quotations of fictitions value, and shareholders had been induced to accept molerate dividends on the understanding that a surplus was being devoted, to the creation of a Rest. That confidence had been undeserved, of a Rest. That coundence had been undeserved, and moreover, he doubted if expenses of manage-ment would be any less upon a capital of \$500,000 than upon one of \$1,000,000. The same staff would be required. [No, no. A Shareholder Reduction of the staff has commenced already.] It would be found very much more difficult to re-duce an existing establishment than to originate a new one on an economical basis. Repeating the previously offered arguments against the cost of