

that there was any danger of any disturbance. The defendant sent for the policemen solely for the reason that this young boy had told him that the plaintiff had taken a knife. Before sending for the police he never asked the plaintiff anything about the knife; made no enquiries whatever, but brought these policemen there, told them that he would point out the thief, pointed the plaintiff out, and told the policemen, to take her away, which they did.

The plaintiff's statement is uncontradicted; that she had been the owner of a knife similar to the one in question; that she had lost it; that she thought the one she saw there was hers. I have no doubt of the truth of her statement.

I cannot find a justification in the record for the action of the defendant, and I should maintain the plaintiff's action. She suffered humiliation attendant on such a scene in a factory such as that in which she was working; she saw the inside of a police station probably for the first time, under circumstances far from pleasant, and there is some proof of subsequent difficulty in obtaining work; and I should assess her damages at the sum of \$50, and give her judgment for that amount, with costs of an action for \$100.

The defendant says he wished to give a lesson to the other employees. If he did I see no reason why he should not pay for the lesson, particularly when he sought to give it at the expense of this plaintiff.

---