

as it is now, the Association is well represented in every part of Ontario, but if the number of directors was reduced it would certainly lessen that representation. The matter of expense in connection with the Association for the extra directors is very trifling and the amount which would be saved by reducing the number of directors would also be trifling and he did not think it would be in the interests of the Association. As it was all the directors did not attend at every meeting of the board; most of them only attended once a year. The Executive perhaps met oftener, but that expense should not be avoided in any case. As far as using the money for opening up a market that was very good, but there were lots of ways of doing that and when a better or other way of increasing the trade for honey was found then the money would be found to meet that expense.

Mr. McEvoy stated that the Fruit Growers received a grant of somewhere about \$1800 but that this Association only receive \$500; that the other Association had thirteen directors; and he wished to know why they desired to cut down the number of directors in this association. He thought there were some who desired to accomplish something which would result in the breaking down of the association which at present was in a prosperous condition.

Mr. Gemmell stated that the dairy men and the florists and all the rest of them spent a great deal more money than this association, and if this association required more money he was sure the Ontario Government would only be too pleased to give it to them to make a display of honey in any place in the world but he did not think this association should be crippled by reducing the number of directors.

Mr. Grosjean thought that the

amendment hardly went far enough; that if the amendment was drawn so as to have five directors, one in each district of five districts, and have the meetings pass from one district to another that it would be more suitable to the association, but it was well known that under the proposed amendment that the association would likely localize in one particular part and all the members from that part would stop away, those that were present would have all the directors appointed from that district, and the president and the whole thing would stop there, and the association would go down to nothing.

Mr. Holterman talked of economy with the county commissioners but he (Mr. Grosjean) had seen a little of that; they had asked for a change, and there was now a chance to go back to the old system; the county commissioners spend more money than they did before; they have all the work to do, and in the place of having committees, the whole of them go. Under the old system they appointed four on the road and bridge committee and four or five on some others; these would serve on it with the warden; and now there were from twelve to twenty-four in the united county, and they all get together and they all go. He thought it a mistake to reduce the number of directors, to five and that that would be too small a number for the province of Ontario.

Mr. Holterman asked Mr. Grosjean if this should be found to be the case whether there would be anything to prevent changing the by-laws then.

Mr. Grosjean answered that just as soon as it was localized it could not be changed because the directors would all be there, and they wouldn't want it changed.

Mr. Darling stated that this same argument with reference to the extra