

All Not Happy With SUB-Ex Presentation

SUB Expansion is not yet a closed issue.

Certain parties who are in some doubt concerning the details of SUB expansion circulated a petition to halt presentation of the SUB expansion plans to the Board of Governors Wednesday.

The people behind this petition are not opposed to SUB expansion, but they do feel that the student body has not been allowed to indicate its approval, or opposition, to plans as they now exist.

INADEQUATE INFORMATION

One of the persons sponsoring the petition said: "Just telling the students through one open meeting what the plans are is not sufficient; they should also allow the students to voice their opinion about the details."

Many students feel that, with an expenditure of \$4.5 million, which, with interest, will amount to \$9.8 million, they should be consulted, and have not been!

This issue has been compared with the coliseum issue which confounded the last mayoralty election in Edmonton.

The petition has been circulated through classes, and other means of contacting students.

Sufficient signatures to originate a referendum will have to be collected by Wednesday.

ELECTION NOTICE

Candidates in the forthcoming Students' Union elections—to be held March 6—will be required to submit their platforms for publication in *The Gateway* to the undersigned between 1:30 p.m. and 2 p.m. February 28, in *The Gateway* office. Platforms must be typed, double-spaced, only on one side of a page, and not more than 300 words in length. Candidates must also provide pictures (head shots) of themselves with their platforms.

In the event that these conditions are not met, the editor-in-chief takes no responsibility for omitting to print platforms and pictures of candidates concerned.

Branny Schepanovich
Editor-in-Chief



FERDINAND REFUSES FUNDS TO COLUMBUS—Varsity Varieties promises two battles, one good king, lots of sex which is a good thing, and singing which is probably not a good thing (past experience). This scene is from *Mary-Go-Round*, a sequence of themes. Photo by Con Stenton

Liberals First, Tories Second, In Elections

The Liberals will again form a minority government in Model Parliament, this time with Ian Pitfield, arts 4, as Prime Minister.

In last Friday's election, 22 Liberals were elected, six fewer than last year. The makeup of the new coalition government is still uncertain.

Prime Minister Pitfield's cabinet has not as yet been announced. The Liberals campaigned on the principles of the national party, filling out their platform with details calculated to appeal to university students. **LUCKY 13 FOR PC'S**

The Progressive Conservatives under Gordon Young gained 13 seats in the 65-seat house, followed by Dave Shugarman's Constitutionalists and Robin Hunter's New Democrats both with 11.

The Socreds, led by Preston Manning, lost three seats from last year, giving them a total of eight.

One ballot box was stolen

temporarily from the Education Building poll during the balloting. A number of med 2 students had no comment on either the theft or the 22 valentines returned inside the ballot box.

SHARP INCREASE

The number of voters at the 10 polls increased by two from last year, when only 2,166 turned out. There were 32 spoiled ballots.

The dull campaign preceding the election was highlighted by the residence rent controversy. The only party which supported the proposed room and board rates of \$96 for a single room and \$90 for a double was Social Credit. The Socreds finished dead last in the balloting.

Indications are that lively debate, repartee and heckling will again characterize the session this year. Students and the general public are welcome, as always, to attend the festivities which commence at 7 p.m. on Monday.

Model Parliament Elections

	1964		1963	
	Votes	Seats	Votes	Seats
Liberals	717	22	924	28
Conservatives	427	13	505	15
Constitutionalists	375	11	—	—
New Democrats	352	11	380	11
Socreds	265	8	357	11
	<u>2,168</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>2,166</u>	<u>65</u>

Third Reel Seized

Bitter Ash Nailed Again

TORONTO — The controversial movie *Bitter Ash* was seized by the Ontario Government during a showing at Carleton University last week.

Banned as obscene at McMaster University, the film was being considered for presentation at the University of Toronto beginning this Monday, but was submitted to the Ontario Board of Censors first.

When it was discovered that several films that had to be screened before prevented a decision on it for several days, the film was requested back from the censors with no reason given.

It was then sent to Carleton University where the showings had been scheduled.

About 350 tickets for each showing had been sold at \$1 each.

As the third reel was being unwound to be put into the projector, Mr. W. W. Watt, a theatre inspector for the Ontario government, stepped in and seized the film.

It is the third reel that contains the seduction scene. It has caused many people to assail the film as obscene.

The film is now being transported back to Toronto where it will stay until its producer, Lawrence Kent of the University of British Columbia, allows the censors to view it, or until it is returned to BC.

If the censors pass the film, it will be shown on the U of T campus by the Student Christian Movement.

'New Trail' Mistaken: Claims Off-Campus Rents Lower

By Larry Krywaniuk

"The University Residence hall charges are generally lower than the cost of room and board in private homes and apartments, and the student has the advantage of being on campus, close to library, study, and recreational facilities," according to the latest edition of the Alumni magazine, *The New Trail*.

Allan Meech, arts 4, says "I went to see them and they said that they will have it changed for the brochure."

In a student survey of residences between 112 and 109 St., and 87 Ave. to Saskatchewan Drive headed by Calvin Sutela, it was found that off-campus residences generally offer cheaper accommodation.

AVERAGE MUCH LESS

Of those that offered room and board, 94 per cent charged \$75 or less. 77 per cent fell within the range of \$65-\$75. Using a weighted average formula, the average room and board rate was calculated to be \$66.25, \$25 to \$30 less per month than the proposed rates.

Of those that offered room only, 90 per cent charged \$35 or less. 79 per cent fell within the

range of \$25-\$35. The weighted average for the area was found to be \$28.00.

This leaves approximately \$50 for food if the student is to pay the same amount as in the present residences, and approximately \$65 for food if the proposed rates are used.

Using the off-campus averages, it seems that the student pays an average of about \$38.25 per month for meals.

The students also found that in the last nine years, residence rates will have risen 60 per cent if the new rates are adopted, while the average minimum wage has only risen 25 per cent in Alberta (taken from the Canada Yearbook).

A considerable number of the landlords are considering or definitely adopting a raise in rents for next fall.

Time For
Referendum
See page 4