

just this matter to the satisfaction of all concerned. This may be easily perceived now, in their subsequent correspondence with Mr. Canning ; but we *will not* see it in less than ten years, unless, (which God grant) we should agree on similar terms with some little technical difference ; and then it will be all fair enough to say they would have done it.

The subjoined correspondence' with the Editor of the Times, part of which has been printed in that paper and part refused insertion, is added with an equal view to exhibit these subjects in the light which the author conceives to be the true one ; with equal apprehension of the small chance of their meeting attention at present, and with equal confidence that time will develop the truths they contain ;—the truths ;—for, be it remembered, they pretend to no prophetic character ; nor will the author vouch for the correctness of any conjecture that these publications may contain.—There is an opinion hazarded, for example, in the letter of the 24th of October last to the Editor of the Times, that Marquis Wellesley differed pretty stoutly from his colleagues on the American subject ; yet his Lordship is reported to have said since, in the House of Lords, that the American government had been affected with a deadly hatred towards this country, and a deadly affection towards France. This tended to invalidate the former opinion ; and now Vetus, who is supposed to know the noble Marquis's sentiments as well as his own, comes forward to say that he would have proposed more conciliatory measures to America (an idea that his intercourse with the American legation does not discourage ;)<sup>2</sup> and that, had he failed here, he

<sup>1</sup> These will be given in our next No. Ed.

<sup>2</sup> Vide Letters from a Cosmopolite to a Clergyman, p. 21, 31, and 46.