

fundamental, anti-doctrinal, anti-political, anti-parliamentary, anti-legislative, anti-theological, anti-respectful, anti-spiritual, anti-common, anti-protective, anti-dialogue, anti-understanding, anti-educational, anti-press, anti-pagan, anti-majority, anti-scientific, anti-biological, anti-individualist, anti-personalist, anti-vital, anti-clandestine, anti-professional, anti-pedagogical. In fact, no words are big or eloquent enough to describe the misdeeds of this bill.

At the same time, the bill is anti-historical, anti-proportioned, anti-imperative, anti-relative, anti-myth.

Mr. Speaker, I would have much more to say, because there is an endless stock of words to qualify the bill. It could be said it is anti-infamous, anti-immoral, anti-Christian and anti-anything-you-can-think-of, to indicate it is not presentable to the nation.

Mr. Speaker, faced with this bill and with a civilization that honours and despises man, our most urgent and imperative task is to defend man in order to save him. Such a bill is not a means of defending man, of saving the nation, of saving mankind. It is anti-respectful of the nation, and it will also raise fundamental problems to our generation. On account of this bill, man is denied all his rights.

The birth of a human being is not allowed, because infamous laws are passed to prevent the reproduction of our citizens. The right to be born is denied, because there will be a direct interference with the life and conception of human beings.

Now, Mr. Speaker, I think that the Minister of Justice should look and listen. I think that he is a conscientious and logical man who should rise and tell us immediately that he is withdrawing that infamous negative and anti-social bill.

Mr. Speaker, it rests with society to change that larva in a man, because an unborn child is simply a larva.

It is the duty and the obligation of society to make a human being out of this larva. This is a primordial obligation, because the question of man's survival in society is raised much later when he has become an adult.

Mr. Speaker, at the present time, some fanatics are allowing themselves free scope, they are unconvinced and without remit; as violence breeds violence, fanaticism aggravates fanaticism. It is a terrible spirituous which leads mankind and nations to extremes. It kills the soul, before killing their body, be-

Proceedings on Adjournment Motion

cause the unborn child has a soul. It is not yet a human being, it is a living soul to which the breath of life has been given by divine Providence and we have no right to attack it.

Thus, the two great modern philosophies have only brought new myths to man. What have we, what are we getting from man since the last century, in Canada as well as in other countries? Only myths. For three centuries now, humanity has been fighting for myths. Millions are suffering and dying in every generation for myths.

Then, they are imposing a myth even at birth, even in the life of society and this bill, Mr. Speaker—clause 18 particularly that we want to delete—is anti-mystic, anti-fanatic, anti-mental, anti-liberal, anti-suicide, anti-executive, anti-preventive, anti-contemporary, anti-philosophical, anti-characteristic, anti-new-born—I would say—anti-maternal, anti-significant and ironical.

Then, Mr. Speaker—

An hon. Member: Ten o'clock.

Mr. Latulippe: May I call it ten o'clock, Mr. Speaker?

[*English*]

**PROCEEDINGS ON
ADJOURNMENT MOTION**

A motion to adjourn the house under Standing Order 40 deemed to have been moved.

POST OFFICE DEPARTMENT—REQUEST FOR
RETURN OF SIX DAY MAIL DELIVERY
AND COUNTER SERVICE

Mr. Stanley Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre): Mr. Speaker, I had planned to open my remarks this evening by saying that there is one thing I like about the Minister of Communications (Mr. Kierans). He is always here at this time, but tonight—oh, he is now entering the house.

An hon. Member: He is late but he is here.

Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre): It will now be possible to begin my remarks as I had intended. One of the things I like about the Minister of Communications—and he will be glad to know there are some things we like about him, few though they may be—is that he is always here when questions addressed to him are posted for the late show. I am glad to see him here tonight. That is a reasonable beginning to my remarks and I intend to stay in that frame of mind for these